arXiv:math/0212252v1 [math.QA] 18 Dec 2002

YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES FOR TURAEV CROSSED STRUCTURES

MARCO ZUNINO

Abstract. We provide an analog of the Joyal-Street center construction and of the Kassel-Turaev categorical quantum double in the context of the crossed categories introduced by Turaev. Then, we focus or attention to the case of categories of representation. In particular, we introduce the notion of a Yetter-Drinfeld module over a crossed group coalgebra H and we prove that both the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H and the center of the category of representations of H as well as the category of representations of the quantum double of H are isomorphic as braided crossed categories.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Tensor categories 3
2. T-categories 4
3. T-categories of representations 8
4. The center of a T-category 11
5. The twist extension of a braided T-category 12
6. Dualities in a balanced T-category 13
7. The quantum double of a T-category 15
8. Yetter-Drinfeld modules and the center of $\operatorname{Rep}(H)$ 16
9. The T-coalgebra $\overline{D}(H)$ and its representations 22
10. $\mathscr{Z}\Big(\operatorname{Rep}(H)\Big)$ and $\operatorname{Rep}\Big(\overline{D}(H)\Big)$ are isomorphic 24
11. Ribbon structures 27
References 30

Introduction

Recently, Turaev [17, 18] (see also [8] and [20]) generalized quantum invatiants of 3-manifold to the case of a 3-manifold M endowed with a homotopy class of maps $M \to K(\pi, 1)$, where π is a group (such homotopy classes of maps $M \to K(\pi, 1)$ classify principal flat π-bundles over M).

One of the key points of the theory [18] is a generalization of the definition of a tensor category to the notion of a *crossed π-category,* here called a *Turaev category* or, briefly, a T-*category.* The algebraic counterpart is the generalization of the definition of Hopf algebra to the notion of a *crossed Hopf π-coalgebra,* here called a *Turaev coalgebra* or, briefly, a T-*coalgebra.* As the category of representations of a Hopf algebra has a structure of a tensor category, the category of representations of

---

Date: Friday, 13 September 2002.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81R50,16W30,57R56.

a T-coalgebra has a structure of a T-category. Concepts like braiding (universal R-matrix), ribbon, and modularity can be extended to the crossed case. Ribbon and modular T-categories play a central role in the construction of the new invariants.

Roughly speaking, a T-*coalgebra* H is a family $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\pi}$ of algebras endowed with a *comultiplication* $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\colon H_{\alpha\beta}\to H_\alpha\otimes H_\beta$, a *counit* $\varepsilon\colon \Bbbk\to H_1$ (where 1 is the neutral element of π), and an *antipode* $s_\alpha\colon H_\alpha\to H_{\alpha^{-1}}$. It is required that H satisfies axioms that generalize those of a Hopf algebra. It is also required that H is endowed with a family of algebra isomorphisms $\varphi_\beta^\alpha=\varphi_\beta\colon H_\alpha\to H_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$, the *conjugation,* compatible with the above structures and such that $\varphi_{\beta\gamma}=\varphi_\beta\circ\varphi_\gamma$. In particular, when $\pi=1$, we recover the usual definition of a Hopf algebra. A T-*category* is a tensor category $\mathcal{T}$ disjoint union of a family of categories $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\pi}$ such that, if $U\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ and $V\in\mathcal{T}_\beta$, then $U\otimes V\in\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$. It is required that $\mathcal{T}$ is endowed with a group homomorphism $\varphi\colon\pi\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$, the *conjugation,* where $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ is the group of strict tensor automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}$. Given $\alpha\in\pi$ and $U\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$, the functor φ_α is also denoted ${}^U(\cdot)$. Notice that the component $\mathcal{T}_1$ is a tensor category. In particular, when $\pi=1$, we recover the usual definition of a tensor category. If H is a T-coalgebra, then the disjoint union $\mathrm{Rep}(H)=\coprod_{\alpha\in\pi}\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)$ of the categories of representations $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)=\mathrm{Rep}(H_\alpha)$ has a structure of a T-category.

In [21] we provide an analog of the Drinfeld quantum double (see [2]) and of the ribbon extension (see [12]), that is we showed how to obtain a quasitriangular and a ribbon T-coalgebra starting from any finite-type T-coalgebra. In this article we focus our attention on the corresponding categorical constructions and the relations between these and the algebraic one.

In the first part of the article, we study how to obtain braided or ribbon T-categories starting from a T-category that is not braided. Firstly, given any T-category $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain a braided T-category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$, the *center of* $\mathcal{T}$ (Theorem 5.1). When $\pi=1$, we recover the definition of the center of a tensor category, see [5]. Given a braided T-category $\mathcal{T}'$, by generalizing the constructions in [14] and [7], we obtain a ribbon T-category $\mathcal{N}\big((\mathcal{T}')^Z\big)$. We can apply this construction when $\mathcal{T}'=\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ so that for any T-category $\mathcal{T}$ we obtain a ribbon T-category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})=\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})\big)^Z\Big)$.

In the second part of the article, we consider the case of category of representations of T-coalgebras and we discuss the relation between the above categorical constructions and the algebraic constructions that we previously introduced in [21]. Firstly, we introduce the fundamental notion of a *Yetter-Drinfeld module* over a T-coalgebra H, or, briefly, a YD-*module,* as a module V over a component H_α of H endowed with a family of $\Bbbk$-linear morphisms $\Delta_\beta\colon V\to V\otimes H_\beta$ (for any $\beta\in\pi$) satisfying axioms that generalize the usual definition of a Yetter-Drinfeld module over a Hopf algebra. We state now the main result relating our double constructions for crossed categories and for crossed Hopf group coalgebras.

Theorems 8.1 and 10.1. *Let H be a* T*-coalgebra of finite type. We have the isomorphisms of braided* T*-categories*

$$\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)=\mathcal{YD}(H)=\mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big),$$

where $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ *is the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H and $\overline{D}(H)$ is the mirror of the quantum double $D(H)$ of H (see* [21]*).*

We can describe Theorems 8.1 and 10.1 via the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Rep}(H) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{Z}"] & \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big) \arrow[r, equal] & \mathrm{YD}\,(H) \arrow[r, equal] & \mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big) \\
H \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Rep}"] \arrow[rrr, "\overline{D}"'] & & & \overline{D}(H) \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Rep}"']
\end{tikzcd}\quad.$$

Similar results are obtained for ribbon structures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author wants to thank his adviser, V. Turaev for his stimulating and constructive direction in the research. The author also wants to thank A. Bruguières, B. Enriquez, Ch. Kassel, and H.J. Schneider for the useful remarks. The author was partially supported by the INDAM, ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI ALTA MATEMATICA, Rome.

A special thanks to the little blond angel that invited me to return to work with the kindness of her smile and the persuasion of a well pointed trident.

1. TENSOR CATEGORIES

To fix our notations, let us recall few basic definitions. A *tensor category* $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a, l, r)$ (see [10, 9]), also called a *monoidal category,* is a category $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with a functor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ (the *tensor product*), an object $\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{C}$ (the *tensor unit*) and natural isomorphisms $a = a_{U,V,W}\colon (U \otimes V) \otimes W \to U \otimes (V \otimes W)$ for all $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ (the *associativity constraint*) and $l = l_U\colon \mathbb{I} \otimes U \to U$, $r = r_U\colon U \otimes \mathbb{I} \to U$, for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$ (the *left unit constraint* and the *right unit constraint,* respectively) such that, for all $U, V, W, X \in \mathcal{C}$, the two identities $a_{U,V,W\otimes X} \circ a_{U\otimes V,W,X} = (U \otimes a_{V,W,X}) \circ a_{U,V\otimes W,X} \circ (a_{U,V,W} \otimes X)$ (called the *associativity pentagon*) and $(U \otimes l_V) \circ (r_U \otimes V) = a_{U,\mathbb{I},V}$ are satisfied. A tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ is *strict* when all the constraints are identities.

Given two tensor categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, a *tensor functor* $F = (F, F_2, F_0)\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ consists of the following items.

- A functor $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$.
- A natural family $\big\{F_2(U, V)\colon F(U) \otimes F(V) \to F(U \otimes V)\big\}_{U,V \in \mathcal{C}}$ of isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $F(a_{U,V,W}) \circ F_2(U \otimes V, W) \circ F_2(U, V) \otimes F(W) = F_2(U, V \otimes W) \circ \big(F(U) \otimes F_2(V, W)\big) \circ a_{U,V,W}$, for any $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$.
- An isomorphism $F_0\colon \mathbb{I} \to F(\mathbb{I})$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $F(r_U) \circ F_2(U, \mathbb{I}) \circ \big(F(U) \otimes F_0\big) = r_{F(U)}$ and $F(l_U) \circ F_2(\mathbb{I}, U) \circ \big(F_0 \otimes F(U)\big) = l_{F(U)}$, for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$.

F is said *strict* when F_0 and all the $F_2(U, V)$ are identities.

Remark 1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category. We recall [9, 10] that $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a strict tensor category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ via a tensor functor $F\colon \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ and a tensor functor $G\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$. More precisely, the category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and the functors F and G can be obtained as follows.

- The objects of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ are all the finite sequences $u = (U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ of objects $U_1, ..., U_n \in \mathcal{C}$. Also the empty sequence, denoted u_0, is an object in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$.
- For any $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$, the object $F(u)$ is given by

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{I} & \text{if } u = u_0, \\ \Big(\cdots \big((U_1 \otimes U_2) \otimes U_3\big) \otimes \cdots\Big) \otimes U_n & \text{if } u = (U_1, \ldots, U_n), \text{ with } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

  where on the right all pairs of parenthesis begin if front. For any $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$, the arrows from u to v in $\mathcal{C}$ are given by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})(u, v) = \mathcal{C}\big(F(v), F(v)\big)$. In that way, with the composition induced by $\mathcal{C}$, we obtain the category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$.
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ becomes a tensor category with the tensor product of objects given by the concatenation product and the tensor product of two arrows $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})(u, v)$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})(u', v')$ given by the composite $F_2(v, v') \circ (f \otimes g) \circ F_2^{-1}(u, u')$, where, for any $w, w' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$, the arrow $F_2(w, w')$ is the canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$ from $F(w) \otimes F(w')$ to $F(w \otimes w')$ obtained iterating the associativity constraint a (well defined by the coherence theorem in [9]).

- The definition of the functor F is completed by setting $F(f) = f$ for any arrow $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})(u, v) = \mathcal{C}\big(F(u), F(v)\big)$, with $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$. F becomes a tensor functor by defining $F_2(\cdot, \cdot)$ as above and $F_0 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{I}}$.
- The category $\mathcal{C}$ can be embedded in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ by identifying $\mathcal{C}$ with the full subcategory of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ given by the sequences of length one. The functor G is given by the immersion of $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$. G becomes a tensor functor by setting $G_2(U, V) = a_{U,V}$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$, and $G_0 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})(u_0, \mathbb{I}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I})$.

DUALITIES. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category. For simplicity, allowed by Remark 1.1, we suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is strict. Given $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$, a *pairing between V and U* is an arrow $d\colon V \otimes U \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}$. in $\mathcal{C}$. If, for any arrow $f\colon X \to U \otimes Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we set $d^\sharp(f) = \Big(V \otimes X \xrightarrow{V \otimes f} V \otimes U \otimes Y \xrightarrow{d \otimes Y} Y\Big)$, then we obtain an application $d^\sharp\colon \mathcal{C}(X, U \otimes Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(V \otimes X, Y)$. The pairing d is *exact* when $d^\sharp$ is bijective for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, i.e., if we have an adjunction of functors $V \otimes \_ \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv U \otimes \_$. It follows that d is exact if and only if there exists a map $b\colon \mathbb{I} \to U \otimes V$ (that is, $b = \big(d^\sharp\big)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id}_V)$) such that the relations (called *adjunction triangles* or *duality relations*) $(U \otimes d) \circ (b \otimes U) = U$ and $(d \otimes V) \circ (V \otimes b) = V$ hold. When the pairing is exact, we say that the pair (b, d) is an *adjunction* or a *duality* between V and U. We also say that V is *left adjoint* or *left dual* to U, that U is *right adjoint* or *right dual* to V, and we write $(b, d)\colon V \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv U$. We call b the *unit* and d the *counit* of the adjunction.

Given two adjunction $(b_1, d_1)\colon V_1 \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv U_1$ and $(b_2, d_2)\colon V_2 \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv U_2$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we have a bijection $(\hat{\ })\colon \mathcal{C}(V_1, V_2) \to \mathcal{C}(U_2, U_1)$ with inverse $(\check{\ })\colon \mathcal{C}(U_2, U_1) \to \mathcal{C}(V_1, V_2)$, obtained by setting, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(V_1, V_2)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(U_2, U_1)$, $\hat{g} = (V_2 \otimes b_1) \circ (V_2 \otimes g \otimes V_1) \circ (d_2 \otimes V_1)$, and $\check{f} = (b_2 \otimes U_1) \circ (U_2 \otimes f \otimes U_1) \circ (U_2 \otimes d_1)$. When $g = \check{f}$ we write $f \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv g$. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is *left* (respectively, *right*) *autonomous* when any object has a left (respectively, right) dual. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is *autonomous* if it is both left and right autonomous.

When $\mathcal{C}$ is left autonomous, choosen an adjunction $(b_V, d_V)\colon V^* \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv V$ for any $V \in \mathcal{C}$, we get a functor $(\cdot)^*\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^*$, defined on $f \in \mathcal{C}(V, U)$ by the condition $f^* \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv f$..

Fix two adjunctions $(b_U, d_U)\colon U^* \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv U$ and $(b_V, d_V)\colon V^* \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv V$ in a tensor category $\mathcal{C}$. Given $f \in \mathcal{C}(X \otimes U, V \otimes Y)$, the *mate $f^@$ of f* is the arrow

$$(1) \quad f^@ = \Big(V^* \otimes X \xrightarrow{V^* \otimes X \otimes b_U} V^* \otimes X \otimes U \otimes U^* \xrightarrow{V^* \otimes f \otimes U^*} V^* \otimes V \otimes Y \otimes U^*$$

$$V^* \otimes V \otimes Y \otimes U^* \xrightarrow{d_V \otimes Y \otimes U^*} Y \otimes U^*\Big).$$

2. T-CATEGORIES

Let π be a group. A T-*category* $\mathcal{T}$ (*over* π) is given by the following data.

- A tensor category $\mathcal{T}$.
- A family of subcategories $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ such that $\mathcal{T}$ is disjoint union of this family and that $U \otimes V \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, $U \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$, and $V \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$.
- Denoted $\mathrm{aut}(\mathcal{T})$ the group of the invertible strict tensor functors from $\mathcal{T}$ to itself, a group homomorphism $\varphi\colon \pi \to \mathrm{aut}(\mathcal{T})\colon \beta \mapsto \varphi_\beta$, the *conjugation,* such that $\varphi_\beta(\mathcal{T}_\alpha) = \mathcal{T}_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$.

In the terminology of [18], a T-category is called a *crossed group category.* Differently from [18], we do not require that a T-category is a linear category. Given $\alpha \in \pi$, the subcategory $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ is called the *α-th component* of $\mathcal{T}$ while the functors φ_β

are called *conjugation isomorphisms.* $\mathcal{T}$ is called *strict* when it is strict as a tensor category. When $\pi = 1$, then $\mathcal{T}$ is nothing but a tensor category.

Given two T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$, a T-*functor* $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ is a tensor functor from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}'$ that satisfies the following two conditions.

1. For any $\alpha \in \pi$, $F(\mathcal{T}_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{T}'_\alpha$.
2. F commutes with the conjugation isomorphisms.

Two T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are *equivalent as* T-*categories* if they are equivalent as categories via a T-functor $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ and a T-functor $G\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$.

Left index notation. Given $\beta \in \pi$ and an object $V \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$, the functor φ_β will be denoted ${}^{V}(\cdot)$, as in [18], or also ${}^{\beta}(\cdot)$. We introduce the notation ${}^{\overline{V}}(\cdot)$ for ${}^{\beta^{-1}}(\cdot)$. Since ${}^{V}(\cdot)$ is a functor, for any object $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and for any couple of composable arrows $\cdot \xrightarrow{f} \cdot \xrightarrow{g} \cdot$ in $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain ${}^{V}\mathrm{Id}_U = \mathrm{Id}_{{}^{V}U}$ and ${}^{V}(g \circ f) = {}^{V}g \circ {}^{V}f$. Since the conjugation $\varphi\colon \pi \to \mathrm{aut}(\mathcal{T})$ is a group homomorphism, for any $V, W \in \mathcal{T}$, we have ${}^{V\otimes W}(\cdot) = {}^{V}\Big({}^{W}(\cdot)\Big)$ and ${}^{\mathbb{I}}(\cdot) = {}^{V}\Big({}^{\overline{V}}(\cdot)\Big) = {}^{\overline{V}}\Big({}^{V}(\cdot)\Big) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Since, for any $V \in \mathcal{C}$, the functor ${}^{V}(\cdot)$ is strict, we have ${}^{V}(f \otimes g) = {}^{V}f \otimes {}^{V}g$, for any arrow f and g in $\mathcal{T}$, and ${}^{V}\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$.

Strict equivalence for T-categories.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a* T-*category. $\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent as a* T-*category to a strict* T-*category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Proof (sketch). Define the category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ and the functors F and G as in Remark 1.1.

- Let $u = (U_1, ..., U_n)$ be in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$, with $n \geq 1$ and let $U_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1}, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_n}$. We set $m(u) = \alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n$, and $m(u_0) = 1$, where u_0 is the empty sequence. Any α-th component of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ is defined as the full subcategory $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathcal{T})$ whose objects are the objects u of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $m(u) = \alpha$.
- The conjugation φ^{str} of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ is obtained by setting, for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $\varphi^{\mathrm{str}}_\alpha(u) = \varphi^{\mathrm{str}}_\alpha(U_1, \dots, U_n) = \big(\varphi_\alpha(U_1), \dots, \varphi_\alpha(U_n)\big)$, for any $u = (U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$, and $\varphi^{\mathrm{str}}_\alpha(u_0) = u_0$. The definition is completed by setting $\varphi^{\mathrm{str}}_\alpha(f) = \varphi_\alpha(f)$, for any arrow $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$.

It is easy to prove that, in that way, $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ becomes a T-category and F and G become T-functors. Notice that the hypothesis that the functor φ_α ($\alpha \in \pi$) is strict is essential to obtain the functor $\varphi^{\mathrm{str}}_\alpha$. $\square$

In virtue of Theorem 2.1, often we will consider only strict T-categories.

Adjunctions in a T-category. A *left autonomous* T-*category* $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, (\cdot)^*)$ is a T-category $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a choice of left dualities $(\cdot)^*$ satisfying the following two conditions.

- If U is an object in $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ (with $\alpha \in \pi$), then U^* is an object in $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^{-1}}$.
- The conjugation preserve the chosen dualities, i.e., $\varphi_\beta(b_U) = b_{\varphi_\beta(U)}$ and $\varphi_\beta(d_U) = d_{\varphi_\beta(U)}$ for any $\beta \in \pi$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}$.

Similarly, it possible to introduce the notion of a right autonomous T-category. An *autonomous* T-*category* is a T-category that is both left and right autonomous.

Given two left autonomous T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$, a *left autonomous* T-*functor* $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ is a T-functor from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}'$ that preserves the dualities, that is $F(b_U) = b_{F(U)}$ and $F(d_U) = d_{F(U)}$, for any $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Two left autonomous T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are *equivalent as left autonomous* T-*categories* if they are equivalent as categories via a left autonomous T-functor $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ and a left autonomous T-functor $G\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$. Similarly, it is possible to introduce the notions of a right autonomous

T-functor and of an autonomous T-functor and the notions of equivalence of right autonomous T-categories and of autonomous T-categories.

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a left autonomous T-category. Define the T-category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ and the T-functors F and G as in Theorem 2.1. Given $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$, if we set $u^* = G\big(F(u)^*\big)$, then the exact pairing $F(u^* \otimes u) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(u)^* \otimes F(u) \xrightarrow{d_{F(U)}} \mathbb{I}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ gives also an exact pairing $u^* \otimes u \to \mathbb{I}$ under the identification $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})(u^* \otimes u, u_0) = \mathcal{T}\big(F(u^* \otimes u), \mathbb{I}\big)$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ becomes a left autonomous T-category and that $\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ as a left autonomous T-category via F and G.

STABLE LEFT DUALS. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a T-category and let $U \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ (with $\alpha \in \pi$) be an object endowed with an adjunction $(b_U, d_U)\colon U^* \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv U$. We say that (b_U, d_U) is a *stable adjunction* and U^* a *stable left dual of* U when, for any $\beta \in \pi$ that commutes with α, if $\varphi_\beta(U) = U$ then $\big(\varphi_\beta(b_U), \varphi_\beta(d_U)\big) = (b_U, d_U)$. If we set $\Phi(U) = \big\{\varphi_\beta(U)\big\}_{\beta \in \pi}$, then, given $V \in \Phi(U)$ and $\beta \in \pi$ such that $V = \varphi_\beta(U)$, the stable adjunction (b_U, d_U) gives rise to another stable adjunction $\big(\varphi_\beta(b_U), \varphi_\beta(d_U)\big)\colon \varphi_\beta(U^*) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv V$ that does not depends on β.

Lemma 2.3. *A* T*-category* $\mathcal{T}$ *admits a structure of left autonomous* T*-category if and only if, for any* $U \in \mathcal{T}$*, there exists an object* $U_0 \in \Phi(U)$ *endowed with a stable adjunction* $(b_0, d_0)\colon U_0^* \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\dashv U_0$.

Remark 2.4. The terminology concerning a category with dualities is not completely fixed. In particular, if some authors [3] only require that any object V in a left autonomous category admits an exact pairing, other authors [6, 16] also require the choice of a pairing, i.e., they consider a fixed adjunction for any object of the category. To be coherent with the definition in [18], we choose the second convention. This will also be useful in the second part of the article, since the considered categories will be endowed with a natural choice of stable dualities. However, we will see that, starting from a T-category $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a twist θ, it is possible to obtain a ribbon subcategory $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$, i.e., a subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with stable dualities compatible with the twist. With the exception of the trivial case in which we just know that $\mathcal{T}$ is ribbon (so that we have $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$), there is no natural way to obtain a canonical duality $(\cdot)^*$ for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$.

BRAIDING. A *braiding* for a T-category $\mathcal{T}$ is a family of isomorphisms

$$c = \left\{ c_{U,V} \in \mathcal{T}\Big(U \otimes V, \big({}^{U}V\big) \otimes U \Big) \right\}_{U,V \in \mathcal{T}}$$

satisfying the following conditions.

- For any arrow $f \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha(U, U')$ (with $\alpha \in \pi$), $g \in \mathcal{T}(V, V')$ we have

$$\Big(\big({}^{\alpha}g\big) \otimes f \Big) \circ c_{U,V} = c_{U',V'} \circ (f \otimes g). \tag{2a}$$

- For any $U, V, W \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$c_{U \otimes V, W} = a_{{}^{U \otimes V}W, U, V} \circ (c_{U, {}^{V}W} \otimes V) \circ a^{-1}_{U, {}^{V}W, V} \circ (U \otimes c_{V,W}) \tag{2b}$$

and

$$c_{U, V \otimes W} = a^{-1}_{{}^{U \otimes V}W, U, V} \circ \Big(\big({}^{U}V\big) \otimes c_{U,W} \Big) \circ a_{{}^{U}V, U, W} \circ (c_{U,V} \otimes W) \circ a^{-1}_{U,V,W}. \tag{2c}$$

- For any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\beta \in \pi$, we have

$$\varphi_\beta(c_{U,V}) = c_{\varphi_\beta(U), \varphi_\beta(V)}. \tag{2d}$$

A T-category endowed with a braiding is called a *braided* T-*category.* In particular, when $\pi = 1$, we recover the usual definition of a braided tensor category [5].

Given two braided T-category $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$, a *braided* T-*functor* $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ is T-functor from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}'$ that preserves the braiding, i.e., such that $F(c_{U,V}) = c_{F(U),F(V)}$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$. Two braided T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are *equivalent as braided* T-*categories* if they are equivalent as T-categories via a braided T-functor $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ and a braided T-functor $G\colon \mathcal{T}' \to \mathcal{T}$.

Remark 2.5. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a braided T-category and define $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$, F, and G as in Theorem 2.1. The family of arrows

$$c_{u,v} = \left(F(u\otimes v) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(u)\otimes F(v) \xrightarrow{c_{F(u),F(v)}} \left({}^{m(u)}F(v)\right)\otimes F(u) \xrightarrow{\cong} F\left(\left({}^{u}v\right)\otimes u\right)\right)$$

(for any $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$) is a braiding in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$. With this structure of braided T-category on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$, the functors F and G become braided T-functors and so $\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ as a braided T-category.

The morphism ω. Let U be an object in a braided T-category $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a left dual U^* via an adjunction (b_U, d_U). We set

$$\omega_U = (d_{{}^{U\otimes U}U^*} \otimes U) \circ \left(\left({}^{U\otimes U}U^*\right) \otimes \tilde{c}_{{}^{U}U, {}^{U\otimes U}U} \right) \circ \left((c_{{}^{U}U, {}^{U}U^*} \circ b_{{}^{U}U}) \otimes {}^{U\otimes U}U \right). \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2.6. ω_U *is independent from the choice of the stable left adjunction of* U*.*

Since the proof is relatively long, it is omitted.

Twist. A *twist* for a braided T-category $\mathcal{T}$ is a family of isomorphisms

$$\theta = \left\{ \theta_U\colon U \to {}^{U}U \right\}_{U\in\mathcal{T}}$$

satisfying the following conditions.

- θ is *natural*, i.e., for any $f \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha(U, V)$ (with $\alpha \in \pi$),

$$\theta_V \circ f = \left({}^{\alpha}f\right) \circ \theta_U. \tag{4a}$$

- For any $U \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ and $V \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$ (with $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$),

$$\theta_{U\otimes V} = c_{{}^{U\otimes V}V, {}^{U}U} \circ c_{{}^{U}U, {}^{V}V} \circ (\theta_U \otimes \theta_V). \tag{4b}$$

- For any $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\alpha \in \pi$,

$$\varphi_\alpha(\theta_U) = \theta_{\varphi_\alpha(U)}. \tag{4c}$$

A braided T-category endowed with a twist is called a *balanced* T-*category.* In particular, for $\pi = 1$ we recover the usual definition of a balanced tensor category [5].

Given two balanced T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$, a braided T-functor $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ is a *balanced* T-*functor* if it preserves the twist, i.e., if $F(\theta_U) = \theta_{F(U)}$ for any $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Two T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are *equivalent as balanced* T-*categories* if they are equivalent as T-category via balanced T-functor $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ and a balanced T-functor $G\colon \mathcal{T}' \to \mathcal{T}$.

A *ribbon* T-*category* $\mathcal{T}$ is a balanced T-category that is also a left autonomous T-category such that for any $U \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ (with $\alpha \in \pi$),

$$\left(\left({}^{U}U\right) \otimes \theta_{{}^{U}U^*} \right) \circ b_{{}^{U}U} = (\theta_U \otimes U^*) \circ b_U. \tag{5}$$

For $\pi = 1$ we recover the usual definition of a ribbon category [12, 16] also called *tortile tensor category* [5, 4, 13].

Given two ribbon T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$, a T-functor $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ that is at the same time a balanced T-functor and a left autonomous T-functor is called *ribbon* T-*functor.* Two ribbon T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are *equivalent as ribbon* T-*categories* if they are equivalent as T-categories via a ribbon T-functor $F\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ and a ribbon T-functor $G\colon \mathcal{T}' \to \mathcal{T}$.

Remark 2.7. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a balanced T-category and define the equivalent braided T-category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ and the functors F and G as in Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.5. The family of arrows $\theta_u = \theta_{F(u)}$ (with $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$) is a twist in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ as a balanced T-category via F and G. If $\mathcal{T}$ is ribbon, then, with the structure of left autonomous T-category on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ provided in Remark 2.2, $\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ as a ribbon T-category.

MIRROR T-CATEGORY. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be T-category. The *mirror* $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ *of a* T-*category* $\mathcal{T}$ (see [18] and the description of the corresponding algebraic notion of mirror T-coalgebra below) is the T-category defined as follows.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, we set $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\alpha = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^{-1}}$ as a category. So, as a category, $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}$.
- The tensor product $U \overline{\otimes} V$ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ of $U \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\alpha = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^{-1}}$ and $V \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\beta = \mathcal{T}_{\beta^{-1}}$ (with $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$) is given by $U \overline{\otimes} V = \varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(U) \otimes V \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta}$. Given an arrow f in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\alpha$ and an arrow g in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\beta$ (with $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$), the tensor product $f \overline{\otimes} g$ of f and g in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is given by $f \overline{\otimes} g = \varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(f) \otimes g$.
- The associativity constraint is given by $\overline{a}_{U,V,W} = a_{\varphi_{\gamma^{-1}\beta^{-1}}(U), \varphi_{\gamma^{-1}}(V), W}$, for any $U \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$, $V \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$, and $W \in \mathcal{T}_\gamma$ (with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$).
- The left unit constraint and the right unit constraint are given by $\overline{l}_U = l_U$ and $\overline{r}_U = r_U$, for any $U \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}$.
- The conjugation is given by $\overline{\varphi}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha$.

When $\mathcal{T}$ is a left autonomous, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is left autonomous by setting, for any $U \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\alpha$ (with $\alpha \in \pi$), $\overline{b}_U = \varphi_\alpha(b_U)$ and $\overline{d}_U = d_U$. When $\mathcal{T}$ is braided, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is braided via $\overline{c}_{U,V} = (c_{V,U})^{-1}$, for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$. When $\mathcal{T}$ is balanced (respectively, ribbon), $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is also balanced (respectively, ribbon) via $\overline{\theta}_U = \left(\theta_{\varphi_\alpha(U)}\right)^{-1}$, for any $U \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\alpha$ (with $\alpha \in \pi$). The mirror construction is involutive, i.e., we have $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} = \mathcal{T}$.

Two [(left/right) autonomous, braided, balanced or ribbon] T-categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are *mirror equivalent* [*as* (*left/right*) *autonomous, braided, balanced* or *ribbon* T-*categories*] if $\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent to $\overline{\mathcal{T}'}$ as [(left/right) autonomous, braided, balanced or ribbon] T-category.

3. T-CATEGORIES OF REPRESENTATIONS

T-COALGEBRAS. Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative field and let π be a group. A T-*coalgebra* H (*over* π *and* $\Bbbk$) [18] is given by the following data.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, an associative $\Bbbk$-algebra H_α, called the *α-th component of H*. The multiplication is denoted $\mu_\alpha\colon H_\alpha \otimes H_\alpha \to H_\alpha$ and the unit is denoted $\eta_\alpha\colon \Bbbk \to H_\alpha$, with $1_\alpha = \eta_\alpha(1)$.
- A family of algebra morphisms $\Delta = \left\{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\colon H_{\alpha\beta} \to H_\alpha \otimes H_\beta\right\}_{\alpha,\beta\in\pi}$, called *comultiplication,* that is *coassociative* in the sense that, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$, we have $(H_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma} = (H_{\alpha\beta} \otimes H_\gamma) \circ \Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}$.
- An algebra morphism $\varepsilon\colon H_1 \to \Bbbk$, called *counit,* such that, for any $\alpha \in \pi$, we have $(\varepsilon \otimes H_\alpha) \circ \Delta_{1,\alpha} = \mathrm{Id}$ and $(H_\alpha \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,1} = \mathrm{Id}$.
- A set of algebra isomorphisms $\varphi = \left\{\varphi_\beta^\alpha\colon H_\alpha \to H_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}\right\}_{\alpha,\beta\in\pi}$, called *conjugation.* When not strictly necessary, the upper index will be omitted. We require that φ satisfies the following conditions.
  - φ is *multiplicative,* i.e., for any $\beta, \gamma \in \pi$, we have $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\gamma = \varphi_{\beta\gamma}$. It follows that, for any $\alpha \in \pi$, we have $\varphi_1^\alpha = \mathrm{Id}_{H_\alpha}$.
  - φ is *compatible with* Δ, i.e, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$, we have $(\varphi_\gamma \otimes \varphi_\gamma) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \Delta_{\gamma\alpha\gamma^{-1},\gamma\beta\gamma^{-1}} \circ \varphi_\gamma$.

  - φ is *compatible with* ε, i.e., for any $\gamma \in \pi$, we have $\varepsilon = \varphi_\gamma \circ \varepsilon$.
- Finally, a set of $\Bbbk$-linear morphisms $s = \{s_\alpha\colon H_\alpha \to H_{\alpha^{-1}}\}_{\alpha\in\pi}$, called *antipode,* such that, for any $\alpha \in \pi$, we have $\mu_\alpha \circ (H_\alpha \otimes s_{\alpha^{-1}}) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}} = \eta_\alpha \circ \varepsilon = \mu_\alpha \circ (s_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes H_\alpha) \circ \Delta_{\alpha^{-1},\alpha}$.

We say that H is *of finite-type* when any component H_α (with $\alpha \in \pi$) is a finite-dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space. We say that H is *totally-finite* when $\dim_\Bbbk \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\pi} H_\alpha < \infty$, i.e., when H is of finite-type and almost all the H_α are zero. It was proved in [19] that the antipode of a finite-type T-coalgebra is always bijective. We observe that the component H_1 of a T-coalgebra H is a Hopf algebra in the usual sense.

Coopposite T-coalgebra. Let H be a T-coalgebra with invertible antipode. The *coopposite* T-*coalgebra* H^{cop} is the T-coalgebra defined as follows.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, we set $H^{\mathrm{cop}}_\alpha = H_{\alpha^{-1}}$ as an algebra.
- The comultiplication Δ^{cop} is obtained by setting, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$,

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}_{\alpha,\beta} = \left(H^{\mathrm{cop}}_{\alpha\beta} = H_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}}} H_{\beta^{-1}} \otimes H_{\alpha^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\sigma} H_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes H_{\beta^{-1}} = H^{\mathrm{cop}}_\alpha \otimes H^{\mathrm{cop}}_\beta\right).$$

  The counit is given by $\varepsilon^{\mathrm{cop}} = \varepsilon$.
- The antipode s^{cop} is obtained by setting $s^{\mathrm{cop}}_\alpha = s^{-1}_\alpha$, for any $\alpha \in \pi$.
- The conjugation φ^{cop} is obtained by setting $\varphi^{\mathrm{cop}}_\beta = \varphi_\beta$, for any $\beta \in \pi$.

Heynemann-Sweedler notation. The coassociativity of H allows us to introduce an analog of the Heynemann-Sweedler notation [15]. Given $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \pi$ and defined

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n} = \Big(H_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}} H_{\alpha_1} \otimes H_{\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n} \xrightarrow{H_1\otimes\Delta_{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\cdots\alpha_n}}$$
$$H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_{\alpha_3\cdots\alpha_n} \to \ \cdots \ \to H_{\alpha_1} \otimes H_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\alpha_n}\Big),$$

for any $h \in H_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}$, we set $h'_{(\alpha_1)} \otimes h''_{(\alpha_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes h'^{n}_{(\alpha_n)} = \Delta_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n}(h)$. Let H be a T-coalgebra over a group π and a field $\Bbbk$ and let M be a $\Bbbk$-vector space. Suppose that $f\colon H_{\alpha_1} \times H_{\alpha_2} \times \cdots \times H_{\alpha_n} \longrightarrow M$ is a $\Bbbk$-multilinear map. Denoted $\hat{f}$ the tensor lift of f, we introduce the notation $f\left(h'_{(\alpha_1)}, h''_{(\alpha_1)}, \cdots, h'^{n}_{(\alpha_n)}\right) = \hat{f}\big(\Delta_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n}(h)\big)$. For simplicity, we also suppress the subscript "(α_i)" when $\alpha_i = 1$.

Quasitriangular T-coalgebras. A *quasitriangular* T-*coalgebras* (see [18]) is a T-coalgebra H endowed with a family $R = \{R_{\alpha,\beta} = \xi_{(\alpha).i} \otimes \zeta_{(\beta).i} \in H_\alpha \otimes H_\beta\}_{\alpha,\beta\in\pi}$, the *universal R-matrix,* such that $R_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invertible for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and the following conditions are satisfied.

- For any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $h \in H_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(h) = \big(\sigma \circ (\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes H_\alpha) \circ \Delta_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1},\alpha}\big)(h)R_{\alpha,\beta}. \tag{6a}$$

- For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$,

$$(H_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma})(R_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}) = (R_{\alpha,\gamma})_{1\beta 3}(R_{\alpha,\beta})_{12\gamma}, \tag{6b}$$

  where, given two vector spaces P and Q over $\Bbbk$, for any $x = p_i \otimes q_i \in P \otimes Q$ we set $x_{1\beta 3} = p_i \otimes 1_\beta \otimes q_i$ and $x_{12\gamma} = p_i \otimes q_i \otimes 1_\gamma$.
- For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$,

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes H_\gamma)(R_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}) = \big((\varphi_\beta \otimes H_\gamma)(R_{\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta,\gamma})\big)_{1\beta 3}(R_{\beta,\gamma})_{\alpha 23}, \tag{6c}$$

  where, given two vector spaces P and Q, for any $x = p_i \otimes q_i \in P \otimes Q$ we set $x_{\alpha 23} = 1_\alpha \otimes p_i \otimes q_i$.
- R is *compatible with* φ, in the sense that, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$, we have

$$(\varphi_\alpha \otimes \varphi_\alpha)(R_{\beta,\gamma}) = R_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1},\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}}. \tag{6d}$$

Notice that $(H_1, R_{1,1})$ is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra in the usual sense. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we introduce the notation $\tilde{\xi}_{(\alpha).i} \otimes \tilde{\zeta}_{(\beta).i} = \tilde{R}_{\alpha,\beta} = R^{-1}_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Following [19], we set $u_\alpha = (s_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \varphi_\alpha)(\zeta_{(\alpha^{-1}).i})\xi_{(\alpha).i} \in H_\alpha$ and $u = \{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\pi}$. The u_α are called *Drinfeld elements* of H. When $\pi = 1$, we recover the usual definition of Drinfeld element of a quasitriangular Hopf algebra. The following properties of u are proved in [19]. Let α and β be in π and let h be in H_α.

(7*a*) $u_1 = s_1(\zeta_{(1).i})\xi_{(1).i}$.

(7*b*) u_α is invertible with inverse $u_\alpha^{-1} = s_\alpha^{-1}\left(\tilde{\zeta}_{(\alpha^{-1}).i}\right)\tilde{\xi}_{(\alpha).i}$. Moreover we have $u_\alpha^{-1} = \xi_{(\alpha).i}(s_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ s_\alpha)(\zeta_{(\alpha).i})$.

(7*c*) $(u_{\alpha\beta})'_{(\alpha)} \otimes (u_{\alpha\beta})''_{(\beta)} = \tilde{\xi}_{(\alpha).i}\tilde{\zeta}_{(\alpha).j}u_\alpha \otimes \tilde{\zeta}_{(\beta).i}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(\tilde{\xi}_{(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}).j})u_\beta$.

(7*d*) $\varepsilon(u_1) = 1$.

(7*e*) $s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})u_\alpha = u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})$.

(7*f*) $\varphi_\beta(u_\alpha) = u_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$.

(7*g*) $(s_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ s_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha)(h) = u_\alpha h u_\alpha^{-1}$.

(7*h*) $u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})h = \varphi_{\alpha^2}(h)u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})$.

The mirror T-coalgebra. Let $H = (H, R)$ be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra (with $R = \xi_i \otimes \zeta_i$ and $R^{-1} = \tilde{R} = \tilde{\xi}_i \otimes \tilde{\zeta}_i$). By replacing R with $\overline{R} = \sigma(\tilde{R}) = \tilde{\zeta}_i \otimes \tilde{\xi}_i$ we obtain another quasitriangular structure $\overline{H} = (H, \overline{R})$. This means that, in the category of representations of H, we replace the braiding c_R provided by R by the braiding c_R^{-1} provided by $\overline{R}$. When H is a T-coalgebra, the family $\{R^{-1}_{\alpha,\beta} = \tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha.i} \otimes \tilde{\xi}_{\beta.i}\}_{\alpha,\beta\in\pi}$ is not a universal R-matrix for H. Nevertheless, it is still possible to generalize the definition of $\overline{H}$. Let H be a T-coalgebra. The T-coalgebra $\overline{H}$, called *mirror of H* [18], is defined as follows.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, we set $\overline{H}_\alpha = H_{\alpha^{-1}}$.
- For any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, the component $\overline{\Delta}_{\alpha,\beta}$ of the comultiplication $\overline{\Delta}$ of $\overline{H}$ is

(8) $$\overline{\Delta}_{\alpha,\beta}(h) = \big((\varphi_\beta \otimes H_{\beta^{-1}}) \circ \Delta_{\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta,\beta^{-1}}\big)(h) \in H_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes H_{\beta^{-1}} = \overline{H}_\alpha \otimes \overline{H}_\beta,$$

  for any $h \in H_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}} = \overline{H}_{\alpha\beta}$. If, we set $h'_{\underline{(\alpha)}} \otimes h''_{\underline{(\beta)}} = \overline{\Delta}_{\alpha,\beta}(h)$, then (8) can be written in the form $h'_{\underline{(\alpha)}} \otimes h''_{\underline{(\beta)}} = \varphi_\beta(h'_{(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta)}) \otimes h''_{(\beta^{-1})}$. The counit of $\overline{H}$ is given by $\varepsilon \in H_1^* = \overline{H}_1^*$.
- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the α-th component of the antipode $\overline{s}$ of $\overline{H}$ is given by $\overline{s}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha \circ s_{\alpha^{-1}} : \overline{H}_\alpha = H_{\alpha^{-1}} \to H_\alpha = \overline{H}_{\alpha^{-1}}$.
- Finally, for any $\alpha \in \pi$, we set $\overline{\varphi}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha$.

If H is quasitriangular, then $\overline{H}$ is also quasitriangular with universal R-matrix

(9) $$\overline{\xi}_{(\alpha).i} \otimes \overline{\zeta}_{(\beta).i} = \overline{R}_{\alpha,\beta} = \big(\sigma(R_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}})\big)^{-1} \in H_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes H_{\beta^{-1}} = \overline{H}_\alpha \otimes \overline{H}_\beta$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$.

Ribbon T-coalgebras. We say that a quasitriangular T-coalgebra H is *ribbon* when it is endowed with a family $\theta = \{\theta_\alpha | \theta_\alpha \in H_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\pi}$ such that θ_α is invertible for any $\alpha \in \pi$ and the following conditions are satisfied for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $h \in H_\alpha$.

1. $\varphi_\alpha(h) = \theta_\alpha^{-1} h \theta_\alpha$.
2. $s_\alpha(\theta_\alpha) = \theta_{\alpha^{-1}}$.
3. $(\theta_{\alpha\beta})'_{(\alpha)} \otimes (\theta_{\alpha\beta})''_{(\beta)} = \theta_\alpha\zeta_{(\alpha).i}\xi_{(\alpha).j} \otimes \theta_\beta\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(\xi_{(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}).i})\zeta_{(\beta).j}$.
4. $\varphi_\beta(\theta_\alpha) = \theta_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$.

Notice that $(H_1, R_{1,1}, \theta_1)$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra in the usual sense.

T-categories of representations. Let H be a T-coalgebra over a field $\Bbbk$. The T-category $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ (see [18]) is defined as follows.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the α-th component of $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$, denoted $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)$, is the category of representations of the algebra H_α.

- The tensor product $U\otimes V$ of $U\in \mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)$ and $V\in\mathrm{Rep}_\beta(H)$ (with $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$) is obtained by the tensor product of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces $U\otimes_{\Bbbk} V$ endowed with the action of $H_{\alpha\beta}$ given by $h(u\otimes v)=\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(h)(u\otimes v)=h'_{(\alpha)}u\otimes h''_{(\beta)}v$, for any $h\in H_{\alpha\beta}$, $u\in U$, and $v\in V$.
- The tensor product of two arrows $f\in\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)$ and $g\in\mathrm{Rep}_\beta(H)$ is given by the tensor product of $\Bbbk$-linear morphisms, i.e, the forgetful functor from $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ to the category of vector spaces over $\Bbbk$ is faithful.
- The unit $\mathbb{I}$ is the ground field $\Bbbk$ with the action of H_1 provided by ε.
- Given $\beta\in\pi$, we need to define the functor ${}^{\beta}(\cdot)$. To avoid confusion, in this context we reserve the notation φ_β for the isomorphism of algebras $\varphi_\beta\colon H_\alpha\to H_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$. Let U be in $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)$, with $\alpha\in\pi$. The object ${}^{\beta}U$ has the same underling vector space of U. Given $u\in U$, we denote ${}^{\beta}u$ the corresponding element in ${}^{\beta}U$. The action of $H_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$ on ${}^{\beta}U$ is given by

$$h\,{}^{\beta}u={}^{\beta}\left(\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(h)u\right)\tag{10}$$

for any $u\in U$ and $h\in H_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$.

The objects of $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ are called *representations of H.*

When H is quasitriangular, $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ is braided by setting, for any $u\in U$, $v\in V$, $U\in\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)$, $V\in\mathrm{Rep}_\beta(H)$, and $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$,

$$c_{U,V}\colon U\otimes V\to\left({}^{U}V\right)\otimes U\colon u\otimes v\mapsto\left({}^{\alpha}\left(\zeta_{(\beta).i}v\right)\right)\otimes\xi_{(\alpha).i}u.$$

Let us consider the full subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)$ of *finite-dimensional representations of H,* i.e., of representations U of H such that $\dim_{\Bbbk}U\in\mathbb{N}$. The T-category $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)$ has a structure left autonomous T-category obtained in the following way. For any $U\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ we set $U^*=\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(U,\Bbbk)$, with the action of $H_{\alpha^{-1}}$ on U^* given by

$$\langle hf,u\rangle=\left\langle f,s_{\alpha^{-1}}(h)u\right\rangle\tag{11a}$$

for any $h\in H_{\alpha^{-1}}$, $f\in U^*$ and $u\in U$. Then, U^* is a left dual of U via

$$b_U\colon\mathbb{I}\to U\otimes U^*\colon 1\mapsto e_i\otimes e^i\tag{11b}$$

(where $\{e_i\}$ is a basis of U as a $\Bbbk$-vector space and $\{e^i\}$ its dual basis), and

$$d_U\colon U^*\otimes U\to\Bbbk\colon f\otimes u\mapsto\langle f,u\rangle=f(u)\tag{11c}$$

(for any $f\in U^*$ and $u\in U$).

If H is endowed with a twist $\{\theta_\alpha\in H_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\pi}$, then $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ is a balanced T-category, with the twist $\theta_U\colon U\to{}^{U}U\colon u\mapsto{}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_\alpha u\right)$, for any $u\in U$, with $U\in\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)$, and $\alpha\in\pi$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)$ is a ribbon T-category.

Notice that $\overline{\mathrm{Rep}(H)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Rep}\left(\overline{H}\right)$. Similarly, $\overline{\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)}=\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\overline{H}\right)$.

4. The center of a T-category

We generalize the center construction of a tensor category described in [5] to the case of a T-category $\mathcal{T}$ (that, for simplicity, we suppose strict), obtaining a braided T-category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ in the following way.

- The objects of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$, called *half-braidings,* are the pairs $(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ satisfying the following conditions.
  - U is an object of $\mathcal{T}$.
  - $\mathfrak{c}_{\_}$ is a natural isomorphism from the functor $U\otimes\_$ to the functor ${}^{U}(\_)\otimes U$ such that for any $X,Y\in\mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{c}_{X\otimes Y}=\left(\left({}^{U}X\right)\otimes\mathfrak{c}_Y\right)\circ(\mathfrak{c}_X\otimes Y).\tag{12a}$$

- The arrows in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ from an object $(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ to an object $(V,\mathfrak{d}_{\_})$ are the arrows $f\in\mathcal{T}(U,V)$ such that, for any $X\in\mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\Big(\big({}^{U}X\big)\otimes f\Big)\circ\mathfrak{c}_X=\mathfrak{d}_X\circ(f\otimes X). \tag{12b}$$

  The composition of two arrows in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ is given by the composition in $\mathcal{T}$, i.e., by requiring that the forgetful $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})\to\mathcal{T}\colon(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})\mapsto U$ is faithful.
- Given $Z=(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_}),Z'=(U',\mathfrak{c}'_{\_})\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$, their tensor product $Z\otimes Z'$ in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is the couple $\big(U\otimes U',(\mathfrak{c}\boxdot\mathfrak{c}')_{\_}\big)$, where $(\mathfrak{c}\boxdot\mathfrak{c}')_{\_}$ is obtained by setting, for any $X\in\mathcal{T}$,

$$(\mathfrak{c}\boxdot\mathfrak{c}')_X=(\mathfrak{c}_{{}^{U'}X}\otimes U')\circ(U\otimes\mathfrak{c}'_X). \tag{12c}$$

- The tensor unit of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ is the couple $Z_{\mathbb{I}}=(\mathbb{I},\mathrm{Id}_{\_})$, where $\mathbb{I}$ is the tensor unit of $\mathcal{T}$.
- For any $\alpha\in\pi$, the α-th component of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$, denoted $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha(\mathcal{T})$, is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ whose objects are the pairs $(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ with $U\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$.
- For any $\beta\in\pi$, the automorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{Z}.\beta}$ is obtained by setting, for any $(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$,

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{Z}.\beta}(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})=\big(\varphi_\beta(U),\varphi_{\mathcal{Z}.\beta}(\mathfrak{c}_{\_})\big), \tag{12d}$$

  where $\varphi_{\mathcal{Z}.\beta}(\mathfrak{c})_X=\varphi_\beta\big(\mathfrak{c}_{\varphi_\beta^{-1}(X)}\big)$, for any $X\in\mathcal{T}$. The definition of φ_β is completed by requiring that the forgetful $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})\to\mathcal{T}$ is a T-functor.
- The braiding c in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ is obtained by setting, $c_{Z,Z'}=\mathfrak{c}_{U'}$, for any $Z=(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_}),Z'=(U',\mathfrak{c}'_{\_})\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$.

Theorem 4.1. *$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ is a braided* T*-category.*

The proof of Theorem 7.1 and of most of the results in the next three sections can be obtained by introducing a graphical calculus as is [16] and in [7] and then to follow, *mutatis mutandis,* the computation in [7], with the main difference that we does not obtain the categorical quantum double directly, but in more steps, as described before. Alternatively, it is possible to made every computation algebraically. This has the advantage that you can consider T-categories that are not equivalent to strict T-categories. In particular, you can consider T-categories such that the conjugate automorphism φ are not strict (see note at the end of the proof of Theorem 2.1). However, in that way, computations become very long.

Remark 4.2. Bruguières [1] noticed that, if $\mathcal{C}$ is an autonomous tensor category, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ is autonomous too. He also noticed that this result is still true if we replace $\mathcal{C}$ with a T-category $\mathcal{T}$.

Remark 4.3. The definition of the center given here generalizes the most usual convention adopted also in [5, 7]. However, in [6], the center of a tensor category is constructed in a similar way, but considering the natural transformation of the kind $\_\otimes U\to U\otimes\_$. The choice in [6] seems more appropriate in some context, e.g., in the construction of the isomorphism between the center of the category of representations of a Hopf algebra H and the category of representations of $D(H)$.

5. THE TWIST EXTENSION OF A BRAIDED T-CATEGORY

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a braided T-category (again, for simplicity, we suppose $\mathcal{T}$ strict). Generalizing the construction described in [14], we obtain a balanced T-category $\mathcal{T}^Z$, the *twist extension of* $\mathcal{T}$. Even if we do not have, in general, an embedding $\mathcal{T}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{T}^Z$, the name is justified by the observation that we still have an embedding $\mathcal{T}_1\hookrightarrow\mathcal{T}_1^Z$. We will see that, if H is a T-coalgebra and $\mathcal{T}=\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ or $\mathcal{T}=\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)$, then there is an embedding $\mathcal{T}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{T}^Z$.

- The objects of $\mathcal{T}^Z$ are the pairs $T = (U, t)$, where $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}\Big(U, {}^U U\Big)$ is invertible.
- For any $T_1 = (U_1, t_1), T_2 = (U_2, t_2) \in \mathcal{T}^Z$, the arrows from T_1 to T_2 in $\mathcal{T}^Z$ are the arrows $f \in \mathcal{T}(U_1, U_2)$ such that

$$\Big({}^U f\Big) \circ t_1 = t_2 \circ f. \tag{13a}$$

  The composition is given by the composition in $\mathcal{T}$, i.e., we require that the forgetful functor from $\mathcal{T}^Z \to \mathcal{T} \colon (U, t) \mapsto U$ is faithful.
- The tensor product of $T_1 = (U_1, t_1), T_2 = (U_2, t_2) \in \mathcal{T}^Z$ is the couple $T_1 \boxtimes T_2 = (U_1 \otimes U_2, t_1 \boxtimes t_2)$, where

$$t_1 \boxtimes t_2 = c_{U \otimes U' {U'}, {}^U U} \circ c_{{}^U U, {}^{U'} U'} \circ (t_1 \otimes t_2) \tag{13b}$$

- The tensor product of two arrows in $\mathcal{T}^Z$ is given by the tensor product of arrows in $\mathcal{T}$.
- The tensor unit in $\mathcal{T}^Z$ is the couple $T_{\mathbb{I}} = (\mathbb{I}, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{I}})$, where $\mathbb{I}$ is the tensor unit of $\mathcal{T}$.
- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the component $\mathcal{T}^Z_\alpha = \big(\mathcal{T}^Z\big)_\alpha$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}^Z$ whose objects are the pairs (U, t) with $U \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$.
- For any $\beta \in \pi$, the functor φ^Z_β is obtained by setting, for any $(U, t) \in \mathcal{T}^Z$,

$$\varphi^Z_\beta(U, t) = \big(\varphi_\beta(U), \varphi_\beta(t)\big) \tag{13c}$$

  and $\varphi^Z(f) = \varphi(f)$, for any arrow f in $\mathcal{T}^Z$.
- The braiding in $\mathcal{T}^Z$ is obtained by requiring that the forgetful functor from $\mathcal{T}^Z$ to $\mathcal{T}$ is braided.
- The twist θ of $\mathcal{T}^Z$ is obtained by setting $\theta_T = t$, for any $T = (U, t) \in \mathcal{T}^Z$.

Theorem 5.1. *$\mathcal{T}^Z$ is a balanced* T*-category.*

DUALITIES IN $\mathcal{T}^Z$. Even when $\mathcal{T}$ is left autonomous, an object in $\mathcal{T}^Z$ not necessarily admits a left dual. So, in particular, $\mathcal{T}^Z$ is not necessarily ribbon. The following lemma gives a characterization of the objects in $\mathcal{T}^Z$ endowed with a stable left dual.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $T = (U, t)$ and $T^* = (U^*, \tau)$ be objects in $\mathcal{T}^Z$. Then, T^* is a stable left dual of T with unit b_T and counit d_T if and only if*

- *U^* is a stable left dual of U in $\mathcal{T}$ via unit $b_U = b_T$ and counit $d_U = d_T$ and*
- *$\tau = {}^{U^*}\hat{t}^{\,*}$, where $\hat{t} \in \mathcal{T}\Big(U, {}^U U\Big)$ satisfies $t^{-1} \circ {}^U\hat{t}^{\,-1} = \omega_U$.*

6. DUALITIES IN A BALANCED T-CATEGORY

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a balanced T-category. Generalizing some results in [4, 5, 16, 7] to the case of a T-category, we study the properties of dualities in $\mathcal{T}$. In particular, this will allow us to obtain a full subcategory $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ that will be the biggest ribbon category included in $\mathcal{T}$. This is the analog, in the case of a T-category, of the construction given in [14] in the case of a tensor category.

REFLEXIVE OBJECTS. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a balanced T-category and $U \in \mathcal{T}$. We set

$$\theta^2_U = \Big(U \xrightarrow{\theta_U} {}^U U \xrightarrow{{}^U\theta_U} {}^{U \otimes U} U\Big)$$

and $\theta^{-2}_U = (\theta^2_U)^{-1}$. We say that U is *reflexive* if it is endowed with a stable left dual U^*, such that $\theta^{-2}_U = \omega_U$.

Lemma 6.1. *If $U \in \mathcal{T}$ has a stable left dual U^* such that the ribbon condition* (5) *is satisfied, then U is reflexive. In particular, any object in a ribbon* T*-category is reflexive.*

Reversed duality. Let U be a reflexive object in $\mathcal{T}$. We set

$$\begin{cases} b'_U = \left(\mathbb{I} \xrightarrow{{}^{U^*}b_U} {}^{U^*}U \otimes {}^{U^*}U^* \xrightarrow{{}^{U^*}c_{U,U^*}} U^* \otimes {}^{U^*}U \xrightarrow{U^* \otimes {}^{U^*}\theta_U} U^* \otimes U\right) \\ d'_U = \left(U \otimes U^* \xrightarrow{\theta_U \otimes U^*} {}^{U}U \otimes U^* \xrightarrow{c_{{}^{U}U,U^*}} {}^{U}U^* \otimes {}^{U}U \xrightarrow{{}^{U}d_U} \mathbb{I}\right). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6.2. *U is a left dual of U^* via (b_U, d'_U), i.e., $(d'_U \otimes U) \circ (U \otimes b'_U) = U$ and $(U^* \otimes d'_U) \circ (b'_U \otimes U^*) = U^*$, that is, U is a stable left dual of U^* with unit b'_U and counit d'_U.*

The adjunction (b'_U, d'_U) is said *reversed adjunction of* (b_U, d_U).
Good left duals. Let U be a reflexive object. We say that U^* is a *good left dual* if further we have

$$\theta_{U^*} = {}^{U^*}\theta_U^*. \tag{14}$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let U be an object in a balanced* T*-category $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a stable adjunction $(b_U, d_U)\colon U^* \dashv U$. The ribbon condition* (5) *is satisfied if and only if* (14) *is satisfied. In particular, T is ribbon if and only if any object $U \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfies* (14).

Lemma 6.4. *Let U^* be a good left dual of $U \in \mathcal{T}$. If we set $U^{**} = U$ via the reversed adjunction (b'_U, d'_U), then we have $b''_U = b_U$ and $d''_U = d_U$. If V^* is a good left dual of $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and we set $V^{**} = V$ via the reversed adjunction (b'_V, d'_V), then, for any $f \in \mathcal{T}(U, V)$, we have $f^{**} = f$.*

The category $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a balanced T-category. By definition, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ of the object $U \in \mathcal{T}$ that admits a good left dual. For any class $\Phi(U)$ in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ we also fix an object $U_0 \in \Phi(U)$ and a good left dual U_0^* of U_0, obtaining, in that way, a good left dual V^* for any $V \in \Phi(U)$.

Theorem 6.5. *$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ has a structure of balanced* T*-category. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is a ribbon* T*-category and any other ribbon subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ is included in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. The proof that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ has a structure of balanced T-category is given in Lemma 6.7. The proof that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is autonomous is given in Lemma 6.8. Since, by hypothesis, any object of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ satisfies (14), then, by Lemma 6.3, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is ribbon. The fact that any other ribbon T-category included in $\mathcal{T}$ is also included in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ follows by Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.3. ☐

To prove that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is a tensor category, we need the following observation.

Lemma 6.6. *Let U and V be objects in $\mathcal{T}$, let U^* be a stable left dual of U, and let V^* be a stable left dual of V. Consider the dual $(U \otimes V)^* = V^* \otimes U^*$ of $U \otimes V$ via the unit $b_{U\otimes V} = (U \otimes b_V \otimes U^*) \circ b_U$ and the counit $d_{U\otimes V} = d_U \circ (U^* \otimes d_V \otimes U)$. We have $c_{V^*,U^*} = c^*_{V,{}^{V^*}U}$.*

Lemma 6.7. *The structure of balanced* T*-category of $\mathcal{T}$ induces a structure of balanced* T*-category on $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. The only non trivial part is to show that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is a tensor category. Since $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$, we only need to show that the tensor product of $U, V \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ lies in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$, i.e., that $U \otimes V$ admits a good left dual. Let U^* be a good left dual of U and V^* a good left dual V. Given $V^* \otimes U^*$ as a stable left dual of $U \otimes V$ with unit $b_{U\otimes V}$ and counit $d_{U\otimes V}$ as in Lemma 6.6, then $V^* \otimes U^*$ is a good left dual of $U \otimes V$ since, by Lemma 6.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{(U\otimes V)^*} &= \theta_{V^*\otimes U^*} = c_{{}^{V^*\otimes U^*}U^*,\,{}^{V^*}V^*} \circ \left(\left({}^{V^*}\theta_{U^*}\right) \otimes \theta_{V^*}\right) \circ c_{V^*,U^*} \\
&= c_{{}^{V^*\otimes U^*}U^*,\,{}^{V^*}V^*} \circ \left(\left({}^{V^*\otimes U^*}\theta^*_{U}\right) \otimes {}^{V^*}\theta^*_{V}\right) \circ c_{V^*,U^*} \\
&= c^*_{{}^{V^*\otimes U^*}U,\,{}^{V^*\otimes U^*}V} \circ \left(\left({}^{V^*\otimes U^*}\theta^*_{U}\right) \otimes {}^{V^*}\theta^*_{V}\right) \circ c^*_{V,\,{}^{V^*}U} \\
&= \left(c_{V,\,{}^{V^*}U} \circ \left(\left({}^{V^*}\theta_{V}\right) \otimes {}^{V^*\otimes U^*}\theta_{U}\right) \circ c_{{}^{V^*\otimes U^*}U,\,{}^{V^*\otimes U^*}V}\right) \\
&= {}^{V^*\otimes U^*}\left(c_{{}^{U\otimes V}V,\,{}^{U}U}\left(\left({}^{U}\theta_{V}\right) \otimes \theta_{U}\right) \circ c_{U,V}\right)^* = {}^{V^*\otimes U^*}\theta^*_{U\otimes V}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.8. *$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ is an autonomous* T*-category.*

Proof. Given $U \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$ and a good left dual U^* of U, we need to prove that also U^* is an object in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T})$. Since U^* is a good left dual of U, by Lemma 6.3 it satisfies the ribbon condition (5). So, by Lemma 6.1, U^* is reflexive and so U is a stable left dual of U^* under the reversed duality. We only need to show that, if we set $U^{**} = U$ via the reversed duality, then (14) is satisfied. Now, by Lemma 6.4, we have $(\theta^*_U)^* = \theta_U$, so we only need to check

$$\theta_U = {}^{U}\theta^*_{U^*}. \tag{15}$$

Applying ${}^{U}(\cdot)$ to (14), we get ${}^{U}\theta_{U^*} = \theta^*_U$. By duality, we find (15). □

7. The quantum double of a T-category

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be T-category (that again, for simplicity, we suppose strict). Apply the center construction obtaining the braided T-category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$. Then consider its twist extension $\big(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})\big)^Z$. Finally, consider its maximal ribbon subcategory $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})\big)^Z\Big)$. Starting from $\mathcal{T}$, we obtained a ribbon T-category. However, generalizing the construction [7], we can directly construct a ribbon T-category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, the *quantum double of $\mathcal{T}$,* isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})\big)^Z\Big)$. One of the advantages is that a choice of dualities in $\mathcal{T}$, i.e., a structure of autonomous T-category, induces a choice of dualities in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$.

- The objects of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$ are the triples $D = (U, \mathfrak{c}_{\_}, t)$, where
  - U is an object in $\mathcal{T}$,
  - $\mathfrak{c}_{\_}\colon U \otimes \_ \to {}^{U}(\_) \otimes U$ is a natural isomorphism that satisfies the half-braiding axiom (12a),
  - $t \in \mathcal{T}\left(U, {}^{U}U\right)$ is an isomorphism such that $\left(\left({}^{U}t\right) \circ t\right)^{-1} = \omega_U$.
- Given two objects $D_1 = (U_1, \mathfrak{c}_{\_}, t_1), D_2 = (U_2, \mathfrak{d}_{\_}, t_2) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$, an arrow $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})(D_1, D_2)$ is an arrow $f \in \mathcal{T}(U_1, U_2)$ that is compatible with the half-braidings and the twist, i.e., it satisfies (12b) and (13a).
- The tensor product of two object $D_1 = (U_1, \mathfrak{c}_{\_}, t_1)$, $D_2 = (U_2, \mathfrak{d}_{\_}, t_2) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$, is the triple $D_1 \otimes D_2 = \big(U_1 \otimes U_2, (\mathfrak{c} \boxdot \mathfrak{d})_{\_}, t_1 \boxtimes t_2\big)$. where $\boxdot$ is defined as in (12c) and $\boxtimes$ as in (13b). The tensor product of arrows is obtained by requiring that the functor $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{T}\colon (U, \mathfrak{c}, t) \to U$ is a tensor functor.

- The conjugation is given by $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}.\beta}(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_},t) = \big(\varphi_\beta(U),\varphi_\beta(\mathcal{Z}.\mathfrak{c}_{\_}),\varphi_\beta(t)\big)$, for any $\beta \in \pi$ and $(U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_},t_U) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$, where $\varphi_{\mathcal{Z}.\beta}(\mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ is defined as in the case of the center of $\mathcal{T}$ (see (12d)). For any arrow f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, we set $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}.\beta}(f) = \varphi_\beta(f)$.
- Let $D = (U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_},\theta_D)$ be an object in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$. We obtain a stable left dual D^* of D in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$ by setting $D^* = \Big(U^*,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{\_},{}^{U^*}t^*\Big)$ and $b_D = b_U$, $d_D = d_U$, where b_U and d_U are the unit and the counit of U in $\mathcal{T}$.
- The braiding is obtained by $c_{D_1,D_2} = \mathfrak{c}_{U_2}$ for any $D_1 = (U_1,\mathfrak{c}_{\_},t_1), D_2 = (U_2,\mathfrak{d}_{\_},t_2) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$.
- The twist is obtained by setting $\theta_D = t$ for any $D = (U,\mathfrak{c}_{\_},t) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$.

Theorem 7.1. *$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T})$ is a ribbon* T*-category isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})\big)^Z\Big)$ as balanced* T*-category.*

8. Yetter-Drinfeld modules and the center of Rep(H)

We give the definition of a Yetter-Drinfeld module over a T-coalgebra H and we prove that the category YD$\,(H)$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H is a braided T-category isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$.

Definition of a Yetter-Drinfeld module. Let us fix α in π. An *α-Yetter-Drinfeld module* or, simply, a YD$_\alpha$*-module* is a couple $V = \big(V,\Delta_V = \{\Delta_{V,\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\pi}\big)$, where V is an H_α-module and, for any $\lambda \in \pi$, $\Delta_{V,\lambda}\colon V \to V \otimes H_\lambda$ is a $\Bbbk$-linear morphism such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- V is *coassociative* in the sense that, for any $\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in \pi$, we have

$$(V \otimes \Delta_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}) \circ \Delta_{V,\lambda_1\lambda_2} = (\Delta_{V,\lambda_1} \otimes H_{\lambda_2}) \circ \Delta_{V,\lambda_2}. \tag{16a}$$

- V is *counitary* in the sense that

$$(V \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_{V,1} = \mathrm{Id}. \tag{16b}$$

- V is *crossed* in the sense that, for any $\lambda \in \pi$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H_\alpha \otimes H_\lambda \otimes V \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[dr, "H_\alpha\otimes\sigma\otimes H_\lambda"] & \\
H_{\alpha\lambda} \otimes V \arrow[ur, "\Delta_{\alpha,\lambda}\otimes\Delta_{V,\lambda}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1},\alpha}\otimes V"'] & & H_\alpha \otimes V \otimes H_\lambda \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[d, "\mu_V\otimes\mu_\lambda"] \\
H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}} \otimes H_\alpha \otimes V \arrow[d, "H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}}\otimes\mu_V"'] & & V \otimes H_\lambda \\
H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}} \otimes V \arrow[dr, "\sigma"'] & & V \otimes H_\lambda \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[u, "V\otimes\mu_\lambda"] \\
 & V \otimes H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}} \arrow[ur, "\Delta_{V,\lambda}\otimes\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{16c}$$

commutes (μ_λ is the product of H_λ while μ_V is the H_α-module structural map of V).

If, for any $v \in V$, we set

$$v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)} = \Delta_{V,\lambda}(v), \tag{17}$$

then we can rewrite axiom (16a), as $(v_{(V)})_{(V)} \otimes (v_{(V)})_{(\lambda_1)} \otimes v_{(\lambda_2)} = v_{(V)} \otimes (v_{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)})'_{(\lambda_1)} \otimes (v_{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)})''_{(\lambda_2)}$. Similarly, we can rewrite axiom (16b), as $\varepsilon(v_{(1)})v_{(V)} = v$. Finally, we can rewrite the commutativity of (16c) as $h'_{(\alpha)}v_{(V)} \otimes h''_{(\lambda)}v_{(\lambda)} = (h''_{(\alpha)}v)_{(V)} \otimes (h''_{(\alpha)}v)_{(\lambda)}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1})})$, for any $\lambda \in \pi$ and $h \in H_{\alpha\lambda}$.

Given two YD_α-modules (V, Δ_V) and (W, Δ_W), a *morphism of* YD_α*-modules* $f\colon (V, \Delta_V) \to (W, \Delta_W)$, is a H_α-linear map $f\colon V \to W$ such that, for any $\lambda \in \pi$,

$$\Delta_{W,\lambda} \circ f = (f \otimes H_\lambda) \circ \Delta_{V,\lambda}. \tag{18}$$

With the notation provided in (17), axiom (18) can be rewritten as $f(v_{(V)}) \otimes v_{(\lambda)} = \big(f(v)\big)_{(W)} \otimes \big(f(v)\big)_{(\lambda)}$, for any $v \in V$. We complete the structure of the category $\mathrm{YD}_\alpha(H)$ by defining the composition of morphisms of YD_α-modules via the standard composition of the underlying linear maps, i.e., by requiring that the forgetful functor $\mathrm{YD}_\alpha(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H)\colon (V, \Delta_V) \mapsto V$ is faithful.

Let $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ be the disjoint union of the categories $\mathrm{YD}_\alpha(H)$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$. The category $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ admits a structure of braided T-category as follows.

- The tensor product of a YD_α-module (V, Δ_V) and a YD_β-module (W, Δ_W) (with $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$) is the $\mathrm{YD}_{\alpha\beta}$-module $(V \otimes W, \Delta_{V\otimes W})$, where, for any $v \in V$, $w \in W$, and $\lambda \in \pi$, we have

  $$\Delta_{V\otimes W,\lambda}(v \otimes w) = v_{(V)} \otimes w_{(W)} \otimes w_{(\lambda)}\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(v_{(\beta\lambda\beta^{-1})}). \tag{19a}$$

  The tensor unit of $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ is the couple $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{YD}} = (\Bbbk, \Delta_\Bbbk)$, where, for any $\lambda \in \pi$ and $k \in \Bbbk$, we have $\Delta_{\Bbbk,\lambda}(k) = k \otimes 1_\lambda$.

  Finally, the tensor product of arrows is given by the tensor product of $\Bbbk$-linear maps, i.e., by requiring that the forgetful functor $\mathrm{YD}\,(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(H)\colon (V, \Delta_V) \to V$ is a tensor functor.
- Given $\beta \in \pi$, the conjugation functor ${}^{\beta}(\cdot)$ is obtained as follows. Let α be in π and let (V, Δ_V) be a YD_α-module. We set ${}^{\beta}(V, \Delta_V) = \Big({}^{\beta}V, \Delta_{{}^{\beta}V}\Big)$, where, for any $\lambda \in \pi$ and $w \in {}^{\beta}V$,

  $$\Delta_{{}^{\beta}V,\lambda}(w) = \left({}^{\beta}\left(\left({}^{\beta^{-1}}w\right)_{(V)}\right)\right) \otimes \varphi_\beta\left(\left({}^{\beta^{-1}}w\right)_{(\beta^{-1}\lambda\beta)}\right). \tag{19b}$$

  Given a morphism $f\colon (V, \Delta_V) \to (W, \Delta_W)$ of YD-modules, for any $v \in V$, we set $\big({}^{\beta}f\big)\big({}^{\beta}v\big) = {}^{\beta}\big(f(v)\big)$, i.e., we require that the forgetful functor from $\mathrm{YD}\,(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(H)$ is a T-functor.
- The braiding c is obtained by setting, for any YD_α-module (V, Δ_V), any YD_β-module (W, Δ_W), and any $v \in V$ and $w \in W$,

  $$c_{(V,\Delta_V),(W,\Delta_W)}(v \otimes w) = {}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\beta^{-1}}(v_{(\beta^{-1})})w\big) \otimes v_{(V)}. \tag{19c}$$

To prove that $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ is a T-category and that it is braided, we prove before that $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$ as a category.

Theorem 8.1. *The category* $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ *is isomorphic to the category* $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. *This isomorphism induces on* $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ *the structure of crossed* T*-category described above.*

Firstly, we construct two functors $\mathsf{F}_1\colon \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big) \to \mathrm{YD}\,(H)$, and $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1\colon \mathrm{YD}\,(H) \to \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. Then we prove $\mathsf{F}_1 \circ \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{YD}\,(H)}$ and $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1 \circ \mathsf{F}_1 = \mathrm{Id}_{\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)}$. Via this isomorphism, $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ becomes a braided T-category. We complete the proof of Theorem 8.1 by proving that this structure of T-category is the structure described above.

The functor F_1. Let α be in π and let $(V, \mathfrak{c}_V)$ be an object in $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. For any $\lambda \in \pi$, we set

$$\Delta_{V,\lambda}(v) = \mathfrak{c}^{-1}_{H_\lambda}\Big(\Big({}^{\alpha}1_\lambda\Big) \otimes v\Big). \tag{20}$$

Lemma 8.2. *The couple* $\big(V, \Delta_V = \{\Delta_{V,\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\pi}\big)$ *is a* YD_α*-module. In that way, we obtain a structure of* YD*-module for any object in the center of* $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$*. With respect to this natural structure, any morphism in the center of* $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ *is also a morphism of* YD*-modules. By setting* $\mathsf{F}_1(V, \mathfrak{c}_\_) = (V, \Delta_V)$ *and* $\mathsf{F}_1(f) = f$*, we obtain a functor* $\mathsf{F}_1 \colon \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big) \to \mathrm{YD}\,(H)$*.*

To prove Lemma 8.2 we need some preliminary results.

Remark 8.3. Given $\lambda \in \pi$, the algebra H_λ is a left module over itself via the action provided by the multiplication. Similarly, $H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}}$ is a left module over itself. By definition (10) of the action of $H_{\alpha^{-1}\lambda\alpha}$ on the module ${}^{\alpha^{-1}}H_\lambda$, the $\mathbb{k}$-linear map

$$\hat\varphi_\alpha \colon H_{\alpha^{-1}\lambda\alpha} \to {}^{\alpha^{-1}}H_\lambda \colon h \mapsto {}^{\alpha^{-1}}\Big(\varphi_\alpha(h)\Big) = h\Big({}^{\alpha^{-1}}1_\lambda\Big).$$

is $H_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}$-linear and so it is an isomorphism of $H_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}$-modules. Notice that ${}^{\alpha}\big(\hat\varphi_\alpha(h)\big) = \varphi_\alpha(h)$ and that, for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \pi$, we have $\hat\varphi_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} = \Big({}^{\alpha_2^{-1}}\hat\varphi_{\alpha_1}\Big) \circ \hat\varphi_{\alpha_2}$.

Let X be an H_λ-module (with $\lambda \in \pi$) and let $\tilde x \colon H_\lambda \to X$ be the unique H_λ-linear map sending 1_λ to x. We set

$$\tilde x^{(\alpha)} \colon H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\hat\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}} {}^{\alpha}H_\lambda \xrightarrow{{}^{\alpha}\tilde x} {}^{\alpha}X.$$

Since, for any $h \in H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}}$, $\tilde x^{(\alpha)}(h) = {}^{\alpha}\big((\tilde x \circ \hat\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(h)\big) = hx$, we have that $\tilde x^{(\alpha)}$ is the unique $H_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}$-linear map sending $1_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}}$ to $x \in {}^{\alpha}X$, i.e., $\tilde x^{(\alpha)} = \widetilde{{}^{\alpha}x}$.

Lemma 8.4. *Let* V *be a* YD_α*-module. For any* $v \in V$ *and* $x \in X$ *we have*

$$\mathfrak{c}^{-1}_X(y \otimes v) = v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)}\Big({}^{\alpha^{-1}}y\Big). \tag{21}$$

Proof. The proof follows by the commutativity of the diagram

(22)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & V \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[rd, bend left, "V\otimes\tilde x"] & \\
V \arrow[rrru, bend left, "\Delta_{V,\lambda}"] \arrow[r, "v\mapsto 1_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}}\otimes v"'] & H_{\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1}} \otimes V \arrow[r, "\hat\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}\otimes V"] \arrow[rrd, bend right, "\tilde x^{(\alpha)}\otimes V"'] & \big({}^{\alpha}H_\lambda\big) \otimes V \arrow[ru, "\mathfrak{c}^{-1}_{H_\lambda}"] \arrow[rd, "\big({}^{\alpha}\tilde x\big)\otimes V"'] & & V \otimes X \\
 & & & \big({}^{\alpha}X\big) \otimes V \arrow[ru, bend right, "\mathfrak{c}^{-1}_X"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

for $x = {}^{\alpha^{-1}}y$. The top triangle commutes by definition of $\Delta_{V,\lambda}$. The bottom triangle commutes by definition of $\tilde x^{(\alpha)}$. The square commutes because $\mathfrak{c}_\_$ is an isomorphism of functors. □

Proof of Lemma 8.2. We check that $(V, \Delta_{V,\_})$ satisfies the axioms of YD_α-module, then we conclude the proof of Lemma 8.2 with the part concerning morphisms.

Coassociativity. Let X_1 be a H_{λ_1}-module and let X_2 be a H_{λ_2}-module, with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \pi$. By (12a), we have $\mathfrak{c}^{-1}_{X_1\otimes X_2} = \Big(\mathfrak{c}^{-1}_{X_1} \otimes X_2\Big) \circ \Big(\big({}^{\alpha}X_1\big) \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{-1}_{X_2}\Big)$, so, for any $v \in V$, $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$, we get $v_{(V)} \otimes (v_{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)})'_{(\lambda_1)}x_1 \otimes (v_{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)})''_{(\lambda_2)}x_2 =$

$(v_V)_{(V)} \otimes (v_{(V)})_{(\lambda_1)} x_1 \otimes v_{(\lambda_2)} x_2$. If we evaluate this formula for $X_1 = H_{\lambda_1}$, $X_2 = H_{\lambda_2}$, $x_1 = {}^{\alpha}1_{\lambda_1}$, and $x_2 = {}^{\alpha}1_{\lambda_2}$, then we get $v_{(V)} \otimes (v_{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)})'_{(\lambda_2)} \otimes (v_{\lambda_1\lambda_2})''_{(\lambda_2)} = (v_{(V)})_{(V)} \otimes (v_{(V)})_{(\lambda_1)} \otimes v_{(\lambda_2)}$.

Counit. Since we have $v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(1)} = v \otimes 1$, we get $\varepsilon(v_{(1)})v_{(V)} = \varepsilon(1)v = v$.

Crossing property. Let X be a H_λ-module. For any $v \in V$ and $x \in X$ we have $h\mathfrak{c}_X^{-1}\Big(\big({}^{\alpha}x\big) \otimes v\Big) = \Delta_{\alpha,\lambda}(h)\,\mathfrak{c}_X^{-1}\Big(\big({}^{\alpha}x\big) \otimes v\Big) = \Delta_{\alpha,\lambda}(h)(v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)})x = (h'_{(\alpha)}v_{(V)} \otimes h''_{(\lambda)}v_{(\lambda)})x$ and $\mathfrak{c}_X^{-1}\bigg(h\Big(\big({}^{\alpha}x\big) \otimes v\Big)\bigg) = \mathfrak{c}_X^{-1}\Big(h'_{(\alpha\lambda\alpha)}\big({}^{\alpha}x\big) \otimes h''_{(\alpha)}v\Big) = (h''_{(\alpha)}v)_{(V)} \otimes (h''_{(\alpha)}v)_{(\lambda)}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1})})x$, so the crossing property (16c) follows by the $H_{\alpha\lambda}$-linearity of $\mathfrak{c}_X^{-1}$. This completes the proof that (V, Δ_V) is a YD_α-module.

Morphisms. Let $(W, \mathfrak{d}_{\_})$ be another object in $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. Define Δ_W as above for Δ_V. Given any $f\colon V \to W$ in $\mathcal{Z}\Big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\Big)$, we prove that f gives rise to a morphism of YD_α-modules, i.e., that (18) is satisfied. By the commutativity of $\mathfrak{d}_{H_\lambda}^{-1} \circ \big(({}^{\alpha}H_\lambda) \otimes f\big) = (f \otimes H_\lambda) \circ \mathfrak{c}_{H_\lambda}^{-1}$, we have $\big((f \otimes H_\lambda) \circ \Delta_{V,\lambda}\big)(v) = \big((f \otimes H_\lambda) \circ \mathfrak{c}_{H_\lambda}^{-1}\big)\Big(\big({}^{\alpha}1_\lambda\big) \otimes v\Big) = \Big(\mathfrak{d}_{H_\lambda}^{-1} \circ \big(({}^{\alpha}H_\lambda) \otimes f\big)\Big)\Big(\big({}^{\alpha}1_\lambda\big) \otimes v\Big) = \mathfrak{d}_{H_\lambda}^{-1}\Big(\big({}^{\alpha}1_\lambda\big) \otimes f(v)\Big) = (\Delta_{V,\lambda} \circ f)(v)$.

The proof that F_1 is a functor is now trivial. □

The functor $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1$. Let (V, Δ_V) be any YD_α-module. Given $\lambda \in \pi$, for any representation X of H_λ set

$$\mathfrak{c}_X : V \otimes X \to \big({}^{\alpha}X\big) \otimes V : v \otimes x \mapsto \Big({}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\lambda^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})x\big)\Big) \otimes v_{(V)}. \tag{23}$$

Lemma 8.5. *The couple $(V, \mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ is an object in $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. In particular,*

$$\mathfrak{c}_X^{-1}(y \otimes v) = v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)}\Big({}^{\alpha^{-1}}y\Big)$$

for any $y \in {}^{\alpha}X$ and $v \in V$. With respect to this natural structure, any morphism of YD*-modules gives rise to an arrow in $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. By setting $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(V, \Delta_V) = (V, \mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ and $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(f) = f$, we obtain a functor from* YD (H) *to $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. The functors F_1 and $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1$ are mutually inverses.*

To prove Lemma 8.5, we need another preliminary lemma.

Lemma 8.6. *For any $v \in V$ we have $(v_{(V)})_{(V)} \otimes (v_{(V)})_{(\lambda)} s_{(\lambda^{-1})}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})}) = v \otimes 1_\lambda$ and $(v_{(V)})_{(V)} \otimes s_{(\lambda^{-1})}\big((v_{(V)})_{(\lambda^{-1})}\big) v_{(\lambda)} = v \otimes 1_\lambda$.*

Proof. Since Δ_V is counitary, the proof follows by the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \otimes H_{\lambda^{-1}} \arrow[r, "\Delta_{V,\lambda} \otimes H_{\lambda^{-1}}"] & V \otimes H_\lambda \otimes H_{\lambda^{-1}} \arrow[r, "V \otimes H_\lambda \otimes s_{\lambda^{-1}}"] & V \otimes H_\lambda \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[d, "V \otimes \mu_\lambda"] \\
V \arrow[u, "\Delta_{V,\lambda^{-1}}"] \arrow[r, "\Delta_{V,1}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_{V,\lambda}"'] & V \otimes H_1 \arrow[u, "V \otimes \Delta_{\lambda,\lambda^{-1}}"] \arrow[r, "V \otimes (\eta_\lambda \circ \varepsilon)"] \arrow[d, "V \otimes \Delta_{\lambda^{-1},\lambda}"] & V \otimes H_\lambda \\
V \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[r, "\Delta_{V,\lambda^{-1}} \otimes H_\lambda"'] & V \otimes H_{\lambda^{-1}} \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[r, "V \otimes s_{\lambda^{-1}} \otimes H_\lambda"'] & V \otimes H_\lambda \otimes H_\lambda \arrow[u, "V \otimes \mu_\lambda"']
\end{tikzcd},$$

where the two squares on the right-hand side are commutative because of the coassociativity of Δ_V, while the two squares on the left-hand side are commutative because s is the antipode of a T-coalgebra. □

Proof of Lemma 8.5. Let us check that $(V,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ is an object in $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$.

INVERTIBILITY. Let X be a representation of H_{λ}, with $\lambda\in\pi$. We set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_X\colon \big({}^{\alpha}X\big)\otimes V\to V\otimes X\colon y\otimes v\mapsto v_{(V)}\otimes v_{(\lambda)}\Big({}^{\alpha^{-1}}y\Big).$$

Let us prove that $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_X$ is the inverse of $\mathfrak{c}_X$. For any $v\in V$ and $x\in X$ we have $v\otimes x\xmapsto{\mathfrak{c}_X}\Big({}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\lambda^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})x\big)\Big)\otimes v_{(V)}\xmapsto{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_X}(v_{(V)})_{(V)}\otimes(v_{(V)})_{(\lambda)}s_{(\lambda^{-1})}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})x=v\otimes x$ (where the last passage follows by Lemma 8.6). Similarly, for any $v\in V$ and $y\in{}^{\alpha}X$ we have $y\otimes v\xmapsto{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_X}v_{(V)}\otimes v_{(\lambda)}\Big({}^{\alpha^{-1}}y\Big)\xmapsto{\mathfrak{c}_X}s_{(\lambda^{-1})}\big((v_{(V)})_{(\lambda^{-1})}\big)v_{(\lambda)}y\otimes v_{(V)}=y\otimes\varepsilon(v_{(1)})v_{(V)}=y\otimes v$.

LINEARITY. Let X be a representation of H_{λ}, with $\lambda\in\pi$. It is a bit easier to prove that $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_X$ (instead of $\mathfrak{c}_X$) is $H_{\alpha\lambda}$-linear. For any $v\in V$, $y\in{}^{\alpha^{-1}}X$, and $h\in H_{\alpha\lambda}$, we have $h\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{V,X}(y\otimes v)=h\left(v_{(V)}\otimes v_{(\lambda)}\Big({}^{\alpha^{-1}}y\Big)\right)=h'_{(\alpha)}v_{(V)}\otimes h''_{(\lambda)}v_{(\lambda)}\Big({}^{\alpha^{-1}}y\Big)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_X\big(h(y\otimes v)\big)=\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_X(h'_{(\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1})}y\otimes h''_{(\lambda)}v)=(h''_{(\alpha)}v)_{(V)}\otimes(h''_{(\alpha)}v)_{(\lambda)}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\lambda\alpha^{-1})})y$. By the crossing property (16c) of (V,Δ_V), these two expressions are equal.

NATURALITY. Let us check that $\mathfrak{c}_{\_}$ is a natural transformation from the functor $V\otimes\_$ to the functor ${}^{V}(\_)\otimes V$. Given two representations X_1 and X_2 of H_{λ} and a H_{λ}-linear map $f\colon X_1\to X_2$, for any $v\in V$ and $x\in X_1$ we have $\left(\left(\Big({}^{\alpha}f\Big)\otimes V\right)\circ\mathfrak{c}_{X_1}\right)(v\otimes x)=\left(\Big({}^{\alpha}f\Big)\otimes V\right)\left(\Big({}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\lambda^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})x\big)\Big)\otimes v_{(V)}\right)=\Big({}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\lambda^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})f(x)\big)\Big)\otimes v_{(V)}=\Big(\mathfrak{c}_{X_2}\circ(V\otimes f)\Big)(v\otimes x)$.

HALF-BRAIDING AXIOM. We still have to check that $(V,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ satisfies the half-braiding axiom (12a). Let X_1 be a H_{λ_1}-module and let X_2 be a H_{λ_2}-module, with $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\pi$. We want

$$\mathfrak{c}_{X_1\otimes X_2}(v\otimes x_1\otimes x_2)=\left(\left(\Big({}^{\alpha}X_1\Big)\otimes\mathfrak{c}_{X_2}\right)\circ(\mathfrak{c}_{X_1}\otimes X_2)\right)(v\otimes x_1\otimes x_2).$$

for any $x_1\in X_1$, $x_2\in X_2$, and $v\in V$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}c_{V,X\otimes X_1}(v\otimes x_1\otimes x_2)=&\left({}^{\alpha}\Big(s_{\lambda_1^{-1}}\big((v_{((\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1})})''_{(\lambda_1)}\big)x_1\Big)\right)\otimes\\ &\otimes\left({}^{\alpha}\Big(s_{\lambda_2^{-1}}\big((v_{((\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1})})''_{(\lambda_2)}\big)x_2\Big)\right)\otimes v_{(V)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\left(\Big({}^{\alpha}X_1\Big)\otimes\mathfrak{c}_{X_2}\right)\circ(\mathfrak{c}_{X_1}\otimes X_2)\right)(v\otimes x_1\otimes x_2)=&\Big({}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\lambda_1^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda_1^{-1})})x_1\big)\Big)\otimes\\ &\otimes\left({}^{\alpha}\Big(s_{\lambda_2^{-1}}\big((v_{(V)})_{(\lambda_2^{-1})}\big)x_2\Big)\right)\otimes(v_{(V)})_{(V)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the coassociativity of Δ_V these two expressions are equal.

This concludes the proof that $(V,\mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ is an object in $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$.

MORPHISMS. Let $(W,\mathfrak{d}_{\_})$ be another object in $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. Define Δ_W as for Δ_V above. Given $f\colon V\to W$ in $\mathcal{Z}\Big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\Big)$, we prove that f gives rise to a morphism of YD$_{\alpha}$-modules. Let X be a H_{λ}-module, with $\lambda\in\pi$. Given $v\in$

V and $x \in X$ we have $\big(\mathfrak{c}_W \circ (f \otimes X)\big)(v \otimes x) = \left({}^{\alpha}\!\left(s_{\lambda^{-1}}\big((f(v))_{(\lambda^{-1})}\big)x\right)\right) \otimes \big(f(v)\big)_{(W)} = \left({}^{\alpha}\!\big(s_{\lambda^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})x\big)\right) \otimes f\big(v_{(V)}\big) = \left(\left({}^{\alpha}X\right) \otimes f\right)\left(\left({}^{\alpha}\!\big(s_{\lambda^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})x\big)\right) \otimes v_{(V)}\right) = \left(\left(\left({}^{\alpha}X\right) \otimes f\right) \circ \mathfrak{c}_V\right)(v \otimes x)$, where in the second passage we used (18).

The proof that $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1$ is a functor is now trivial. We still have to check that F_1 and $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1$ are mutually inverse.

Isomorphism. Let us prove that $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1 \circ \mathsf{F}_1 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\right)}$. Let $(V, \mathfrak{c}_{\_})$ be an object in $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$, with $\alpha \in \pi$. We have $\mathsf{F}_1(V, \mathfrak{c}_{\_}) = (V, \Delta_V)$, where, for any $\lambda \in \pi$, $v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)} = \mathfrak{c}_{H_\lambda}^{-1}\left(\left({}^{\alpha}1_{\lambda}\right) \otimes v\right)$. We set $(V, \dot{\mathfrak{c}}_{\_}) = (\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1 \circ \mathsf{F}_1)(V, \mathfrak{c}_{\_}) = \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(V, \Delta_V)$. Given any H_λ-module X, with $\lambda \in \pi$, for any $v \in V$ and $x \in X$ we have $\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_X^{-1}\left(\left({}^{\alpha}x\right) \otimes v\right) = v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)}x = \mathfrak{c}_{H_\lambda}^{-1}\left(\left({}^{\alpha}1_{\lambda}\right) \otimes v\right)x = \mathfrak{c}_X^{-1}\left(\left({}^{\alpha}x\right) \otimes v\right)$, where the last passage follows by the commutativity of the square in diagram (22).

Let us prove that $\mathsf{F}_1 \circ \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{YD}\,(H)}$. Given $\alpha \in \pi$ and a YD_α-module X, we have $\mathsf{F}_1(V, \Delta_{\_}) = (V, \mathfrak{c}_V)$, where, for any representation X of H_λ (with $\lambda \in \pi$) and for any $x \in X$ and $v \in V$, we have $\mathfrak{c}_X(v \otimes x) = \left({}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\lambda^{-1}}(v_{(\lambda^{-1})})x\big)\right) \otimes v_{(V)}$. If we set $(V, \dot{\Delta}_V) = (\mathsf{F}_1 \circ \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1)(V, \Delta_{\_}) = \mathsf{F}_1(V, \mathfrak{c})$, then we obtain $\dot{\Delta}_{\lambda}(v) = \mathfrak{c}_{H_\lambda}^{-1}\left(\left({}^{\alpha}1_{\lambda}\right) \otimes v\right) = v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)}1_{\lambda} = v_{(V)} \otimes v_{(\lambda)} = \Delta_{\lambda}(v)$, where the second passage follows by (8.5).

This concludes the proof of Lemma 8.5. ☐

Proof of Theorem 8.1. By Lemma 8.5, the categories $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\right)$ and $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ are isomorphic via the functor F_1 and the functor $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1$. This isomorphism induces on $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ a structure of a strict T-category.

Components. Let α be in π. Since $\mathrm{YD}_{\alpha}(H) = (\mathsf{F}_1 \circ \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1)\big(\mathrm{YD}_{\alpha}(H)\big)$, the α-th component of $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ is $\mathrm{YD}_{\alpha}(H)$.

Tensor category structure. Let (V, Δ_V) be a YD_α-module and let (W, Δ_W) be a YD_β-module. Suppose $(V, \mathfrak{c}_{\_}) = \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(V, \Delta_V)$ and $(W, \mathfrak{d}_{\_}) = \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(W, \Delta_W)$. We set

$$(V, \Delta_V) \otimes (W, \Delta_W) = \mathsf{F}_1\big(\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(V, \Delta_V) \otimes \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(W, \Delta_W)\big) = \mathsf{F}_1\big(V \otimes V', (\mathfrak{c} \boxdot \mathfrak{d})_{\_}\big).$$

Since, for any $v \in V$, $(\mathfrak{c}_{{}^{\beta}H_{\lambda}})^{-1}\left(\left({}^{\alpha\otimes\beta}1_{\lambda}\right) \otimes v\right) = v_{(V)} \otimes \hat{\varphi}_{\beta^{-1}}(v_{(\beta\lambda\beta^{-1})})$, we obtain $\Delta_{V\otimes W,\lambda}(v \otimes w) = \big((\mathfrak{c} \boxtimes \mathfrak{d})_{H_\lambda}\big)^{-1}\left(\left({}^{\alpha\otimes\beta}1_{\lambda}\right) \otimes v \otimes w\right) = \Big(\big(V \otimes (\mathfrak{d}_{H_\lambda})^{-1}\big) \circ \big((\mathfrak{c}_{{}^{\beta}H_{\lambda}})^{-1} \otimes W\big)\Big)\left(\left({}^{\alpha\otimes\beta}1_{\lambda}\right) \otimes v \otimes w\right) = v_{(V)} \otimes w_{(W)} \otimes w_{(\lambda)}\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(v_{(\beta\lambda\beta^{-1})})$, for any $v \in V$ and $w \in W$. The part concerning the tensor unit of $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ is trivial.

Conjugation. The T-category structure of $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ is completed by setting, for any $\beta \in \pi$, ${}^{\beta}(\cdot) = \left(\mathrm{YD}\,(H) \xrightarrow{\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1} \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big) \xrightarrow{{}^{\beta}(\cdot)} \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{F}_1} \mathrm{YD}\,(H)\right)$. In particular, given $\alpha \in \pi$ and a YD_α-module (V, Δ_V), if $(V, \mathfrak{c}_{\_}) = \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(V, \Delta_V)$, then, for any $\lambda \in \pi$ and $v \in V$, we get $\Delta_{{}^{\beta}V,\lambda}\left({}^{\beta}v\right) = \left({}^{\beta}\mathfrak{c}\right)_{H_\lambda}\left(\left({}^{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}1_\lambda\right) \otimes \left({}^{\beta}v\right)\right) = {}^{\beta}\left(v_{(V)} \otimes \hat{\varphi}_\beta(v_{(\beta^{-1}\lambda\beta)})\right) = \left({}^{\beta}(v_{(V)})\right) \otimes v_{(\beta^{-1}\lambda\beta)}$. By setting $w = {}^{\beta}v$, we get (19b).

Braiding. The braiding in $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$ is obtained by setting $c_{(V,\Delta_V),(W,\Delta_W)} = \mathsf{F}_1(c_{\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(V,\Delta_V),\hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(W,\Delta_W)}) = \mathfrak{c}_W$, for any $(V,\Delta_V),(W,\Delta_W) \in \mathrm{YD}\,(H)$, where $(V,\mathfrak{c}_{\_}) = \hat{\mathsf{F}}_1(V,\Delta_V)$. By definition (23) of $\mathfrak{c}_{\_}$, we get (19c).

This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

9. The T-coalgebra $\overline{D}(H)$ and its representations

We define a quasitriangular T-coalgebra $\overline{D}(H)$. This T-coalgebra is the mirror of the T-coalgebra $D(H)$ defined in [21]. To do this, we need to introduce another T-coalgebra $H^{\maltese}$ (the mirror of $H^{*\mathrm{tot},\mathrm{cop}}$ defined in [21]). Then, we discuss the structure of a module over $\overline{D}(H)$. More in detail, we prove that a $\Bbbk$-vector space V is a $\overline{D}(H)$-module if and only if it is both a H-module and a $H_1^{\maltese}$-module and the actions of H and $H^{\maltese}$ satisfy a compatibility condition. Finally, we prove that $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$ are isomorphic as braided T-categories.

Definition of $H^{\maltese}$. The T-coalgebra $H^{\maltese}$ is defined as follows.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the component $H_\alpha^{\maltese}$ is equal to $\bigoplus_{\beta\in\pi} H_\beta^*$ as a vector space, with the product of $f \in H_\gamma^*$ and $g \in H_\delta^*$ (with $\gamma,\delta \in \pi$) given by the linear map $fg \in H_{\gamma\delta}^*$ defined by $\langle fg, x\rangle = \langle f, x'_{(\gamma)}\rangle\,\langle g, x''_{(\delta)}\rangle$ for any $x \in H_{\gamma\beta}$. The unit of H_α is the morphism $\varepsilon \in H_1^* \subset H_1^{\maltese}$.
- The comultiplication $\Delta^{\maltese}$ is defined by setting, for any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \pi$ and $f \in H_\gamma^*$, $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^{\maltese}(f) = \Delta_\beta(f) \in H_{\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}}^* \otimes H_\beta^*$, where $\big\langle \Delta_\beta(f), x \otimes y\big\rangle = \big\langle f, y\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(x)\big\rangle$, for any $x \in H_{\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}}$. We introduce the notation
$$f_{\mathrm{I}.\beta} \otimes f_{\mathrm{II}.\beta} = \Delta_\beta(f).$$
The counit $\varepsilon^{\maltese}\colon H_1^{\maltese} \to \Bbbk$ is given by $\langle \varepsilon^{\maltese}, f\rangle = \langle f, 1_\gamma\rangle$, for any $f \in H_\gamma^*$, with $\gamma \in \pi$.
- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the component $s_\alpha^{\maltese}$ of the antipode $s^{\maltese}$ of $H^{\maltese}$ sends $f \in H_\gamma$ to $s_\alpha^{\maltese}(f) = \Big\langle f, \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}\big(s_{\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\_)\big)\Big\rangle \in H_{\alpha\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}^*$.
- Finally, for any $\beta \in \pi$, we set $\varphi_\beta^{\maltese} = \varphi_{\beta^{-1}}^*$.

The T-coalgebra $\overline{D}(H)$. The T-coalgebra $\overline{D}(H)$ is defined as follows (see the detailed description of the mirror $D(H)$ of $\overline{D}(H)$ in [21]).

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the α-th component of $\overline{D}(H)$, denoted $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$, is equal to $H_\alpha \otimes \bigoplus_{\beta\in\pi} H_\beta^*$, as a vector space. Given $h \in H_\alpha$ and $F \in \bigoplus_{\beta\in\pi} H_\beta^*$, the element in $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$ corresponding to $h \otimes F$ is denoted $h \circledast F$. The product in $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$ is given by
$$(h \circledast f)\,(k \circledast g) = h''_{(\alpha)}k \circledast f\big\langle g, s_{\delta^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\delta^{-1})})\_\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1})})\big\rangle$$
for any $h,k \in H_\alpha$, $f \in H_\gamma^*$, and $g \in H_\delta^*$, with $\gamma,\delta \in \pi$. H_α has unit $1_\alpha \circledast \varepsilon$.

  The algebra structure of $D_\alpha(H)$ is uniquely defined by the condition that the inclusions $H_\alpha, H_\alpha^{\maltese} \hookrightarrow D_\alpha(H)$ are algebra morphisms and that the relations
$$(1_\alpha \circledast f)\,(h \circledast \varepsilon) = h \circledast f \tag{24a}$$
  and
$$(h \circledast \varepsilon)\,(1_\alpha \circledast f) = h''_{(\alpha)} \circledast \big\langle f, s_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\gamma^{-1})})\_\varphi(h'_{(\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1})})\big\rangle, \tag{24b}$$
  (for any $h \in H_\alpha$ and $f \in H_\gamma^*$, with $\gamma \in \pi$) are satisfied.

- The comultiplication is given by
$$(h\otimes F)'_{\overline{(\alpha)}}\otimes(h\otimes F)''_{\overline{(\beta)}}=(h'_{(\alpha)}\circledast F_{\mathrm{I},\beta})\otimes(h''_{(\beta)}\circledast F_{\mathrm{II},\beta}),$$
for any $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$, $h\in H_{\alpha\beta}$ and $F\in H^{\maltese}$. The counit is given by $\langle\varepsilon,h\circledast f\rangle=\langle\varepsilon,h\rangle\,\langle f,1_\gamma\rangle$, for any $h\in H_1$ and $f\in H^*_\gamma$, with $\gamma\in\pi$.
- The antipode is given by $\overline{s}_\alpha(h\circledast F)=\big(s_\alpha(h)\circledast\varepsilon\big)\,\big(1_\alpha\otimes s^{\maltese}_\alpha(F)\big)$, for any $\alpha\in\pi$, $h\in H_\alpha$, and $F\in H^{\maltese}$.
- The conjugation is given by $\overline{\varphi}_\beta(h\circledast f)=\varphi_\beta(h)\otimes\varphi^*_{\beta^{-1}}(f)$ for any $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$, $h\in H_\alpha$, and $f\in H^*_\gamma$, with $\alpha,\gamma\in\pi$.
- The universal R-matrix $\overline{R}$ of $\overline{D}(H)$ is given by
$$\overline{R}_{\alpha,\beta}=\overline{\xi}_{(\alpha).i}\otimes\overline{\zeta}_{(\beta).i}=1_\alpha\circledast e^{\beta^{-1}.i}\otimes s_{\beta^{-1}}(e_{\beta^{-1}.i})\circledast\varepsilon$$
for any $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$, where $(e_{\beta.i})$ is a basis of H_β and $(e^{\beta.i})$ the dual basis. The inverse of $R_{\alpha,\beta}$ is $\hat{R}_{\alpha,\beta}=\hat{\xi}_{(\alpha).i}\otimes\hat{\zeta}_{(\beta).i}=1_\alpha\circledast e^{\beta.i}\otimes e_{\beta.i}\circledast\varepsilon$.

The category $\mathrm{Rep}(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$. Given $\alpha\in\pi$, a $(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)_\alpha$*-module* is a $\Bbbk$-vector space V endowed with both a structure of left module over H_α and a structure of left module over $H^{\maltese}_\alpha=H^{\maltese}_1$ (via an action denoted $\triangleright$) satisfying the compatibility condition

$$h\big(f\triangleright v\big)=\big\langle f,s^{-1}_{\gamma^{-1}}(h'''_{(\gamma^{-1})})\_\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}})\big\rangle\triangleright(h''_{(\alpha)}v).\tag{25}$$

for any $v\in V$, $h\in H_\alpha$, and $f\in H^*_\gamma$, with $\gamma\in\pi$. A morphism of $(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)_\alpha$-modules is a morphisms that is both a morphism of H_α-modules and a morphism of $H^{\maltese}_1$-modules. In that way, with the obvious composition, we obtain the category $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ of $(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)_\alpha$-modules. The disjoint union $\mathrm{Rep}(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ of the categories $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ for all $\alpha\in\pi$ is a braided T-category as follows.

- $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ is the α-th component of $\mathrm{Rep}(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$.
- Given $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$, let U be an object in $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ and let V be an object in $\mathrm{Rep}_\beta(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$. The tensor product $U\otimes V$ of $(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$-modules is given by the tensor product of U and V as both H_α-modules and $H^{\maltese}$-modules, i.e., given $u\in U$ and $v\in V$, the action of $h\in H_{\alpha\beta}$ and, respectively, $f\in H^*_\gamma$ (with $\gamma\in\pi$) on $u\otimes v$ given by $h(u\otimes v)=h'_{(\alpha)}u\otimes h''_{(\beta)}v$ and $f\triangleright(u\otimes v)=f_{\mathrm{I}.\beta}\triangleright u\otimes f_{\mathrm{II}.\beta}\triangleright v$.
- The conjugation is obtained in the obvious way by the conjugation of $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ and the conjugation of $\mathrm{Rep}(H^{\maltese})$.
- The braiding is obtained by setting,

$$c_{U,V}\colon U\otimes V\to\Big({}^{\alpha}V\Big)\otimes V\colon u\otimes v\mapsto{}^{\alpha}\big(s_{\beta^{-1}}(e_{\beta^{-1}.i})v\big)\otimes e^{\beta^{-1}.i}\triangleright u\tag{26}$$

for any $U\in\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ and $V\in\mathrm{Rep}_\beta(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$, with $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$.

Theorem 9.1. $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Rep}\Big(\overline{D}(H)\Big)$ *as a braided* T*-category.*

Proof. The simplest way to prove the theorem is to construct an isomorphism of categories $\mathsf{F}_3\colon\mathrm{Rep}\Big(\overline{D}(H)\Big)\to\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(H,H^{\maltese},\circledast)$ such that F_3 induces on $\mathrm{Rep}\Big(\overline{D}(H)\Big)$ the structure of braided T-category described above.

Let V be a $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$-module, with $\alpha\in\pi$. Since both H_α and $H^{\maltese}_1=H^{\maltese}_\alpha$ can be identified with subalgebras of $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$ via the canonical embeddings, V has both a natural structure of left H_α-module and a natural structure of left $H^{\maltese}_1$-module. Explicitly, for any $v\in V$, $h\in H_\alpha$, and $f\in H^*_\gamma\subseteq H^{\maltese}_1$, with $\gamma\in\pi$, we set

$$hv=(h\circledast\varepsilon)v\qquad\text{and}\qquad f\triangleright v=(1_\alpha\circledast f)v.$$

Let us prove that the compatibility condition (25) is satisfied. By the associativity of the action of $\overline{D}(H)$ on V and by (24) we get $h(f \triangleright v) = (h \circledast \varepsilon)\big((1_\alpha \circledast f)v\big) = \big((h \circledast \varepsilon)(1_\alpha \circledast f)\big)v = \Big(h''_{(\alpha)} \circledast \big\langle f, s_{\gamma^{-1}}(h'''_{(\gamma^{-1})})\big)\text{-}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1})})\big\rangle\Big)v = \Big(1_{(\alpha)} \circledast \big\langle f, s_{\gamma^{-1}}(h'''_{(\gamma^{-1})})\big)\text{-}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1})})\big\rangle\Big)\,(h''_{(\alpha)} \circledast \varepsilon)v = \big\langle f, s_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\gamma^{-1})})\text{-}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}})\big\rangle \triangleright (h''_{(\alpha)}v)$. We set $\mathsf{F}_3(V)$ equal to V endowed with the structure of $(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)_\alpha$-module described above.

Given another $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$-module V and a $\Bbbk$-linear morphism $f\colon V \to W$, it is easy to prove that f is a morphism of $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$-modules if and only if it is both a morphism of H_α-modules and a morphisms of $H_1^{\maltese}$-modules. By setting $\mathsf{F}_3(f) = f$, we obviously obtained a functor.

Let us prove that F_3 is invertible. Given a $(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)_\alpha$-module W, we define an action of $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$ on W via the tensor lift of the linear map $H_\alpha \times H_\alpha^{\maltese} \times W \to W\colon (h, F, v) \to F \triangleright (hv)$, we have to prove that we obtained a $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$-module. For any $h, k \in H_\alpha$, $f \in H_\gamma^*$, and $g \in H_\delta^*$, with $\gamma, \delta \in \pi$, we have $(1_\alpha \circledast \varepsilon)v = \varepsilon \triangleright (1_\alpha v) = \varepsilon \triangleright v = v$ and $(h \circledast f)\big((k \circledast g)v\big) = (h \circledast f)\big(g \triangleright (kv)\big) = f \triangleright \Big(h\big(g \triangleright (kv)\big)\Big) = f \triangleright \big\langle g, s_{\delta^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\delta^{-1})})\text{-}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1})})\big\rangle \triangleright (h''_{(\alpha)}k\,v) = f\big\langle g, s_{\delta^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\delta^{-1})})\text{-}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1})})\big\rangle \triangleright (h''_{(\alpha)}k\,v) = \Big(h''_{(\alpha)}k \circledast f\big\langle g, s_{\delta^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\delta^{-1})})\text{-}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1})})\big\rangle\Big)v = \big((h \circledast f)\,(k \circledast g)\big)v.$

To prove that F_3 is invertible and to complete the proof of the theorem is now trivial. □

10. $\mathcal{Z}\Big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\Big)$ AND $\mathrm{Rep}\Big(\overline{D}(H)\Big)$ ARE ISOMORPHIC

In this section we prove that $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}\Big(\overline{D}(H)\Big)$ are isomorphic as braided T-categories. We start by defining a braided T-functor $\mathsf{F}_2\colon \mathrm{YD}\,(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$. After that, we set $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{F}_3 \circ \mathsf{F}_2 \circ \mathsf{F}_1\colon \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big) \to \mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$ and we prove that G is invertible.

Theorem 10.1. $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$ *and* $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$ *are isomorphic braided* T*-categories.*

THE FUNCTOR F_2. To prove Theorem 10.1, we start by constructing the functor F_2. For this, we need two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 10.2. *Let* (V, Δ_V) *be a* YD_α*-module* (*with* $\alpha \in \pi$). *Given* $f \in H_\gamma^*$, *with* $\gamma \in \pi$, *for any* $v \in V$ *we set*

$$f \triangleright v = \langle f, v_{(\gamma)}\rangle v_{(V)}. \tag{27}$$

With this action, V *becomes a* $H_1^{\maltese}$*-module and a* $(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)_\alpha$*-module.*

Proof. Let us prove that the action $\triangleright$ is associative and unitary.

ASSOCIATIVITY. Given $f \in H_\gamma^*$ and $g \in H_\delta^*$, with $\gamma, \delta \in \pi$, for any $v \in V$, we have $f \triangleright (g \triangleright v) = f \triangleright \langle g, v_{(\delta)}\rangle v_{(V)} = \langle g, v_{(\delta)}\rangle\,\langle f, (v_{(V)})_{(\gamma)}\rangle (v_{(V)})_{(V)}$ and $(fg) \triangleright v = \langle fg, v_{(\gamma\delta)}\rangle v_{(V)} = \big\langle f, (v_{(\gamma)})'_{(\gamma)}\big\rangle\,\big\langle g, (v_{(\gamma)})''_{(\delta)}\big\rangle v_{(V)}$. By the coassociativity of a YD-module, these two expressions coincide.

UNIT. By (16b), for any $v \in V$ we have $\varepsilon \triangleright v = \langle \varepsilon, v_{(1)}\rangle v_{(V)} = v$, i.e., $\triangleright$ is unitary.

COMPATIBILITY CONDITION (25). Given $h \in H_\alpha$ and $f \in H_\gamma^*$, with $\gamma \in \pi$, for any $v \in V$, by using (16c)) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(f \triangleright v) &= \langle f, v_{(\gamma)} \rangle \, h \, v_{(V)} = \langle f, v_{(\gamma)} \rangle \, \langle \varepsilon, h'' \rangle h'_{(\alpha)} \, v_{(V)} = \big\langle f, \langle \varepsilon, h'' \rangle v_{(\gamma)} \big\rangle h'_{(\alpha)} \, v_{(V)} \\ &= \big\langle f, s_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\gamma^{-1})}) h''_{(\gamma)} v_{(\gamma)} \big\rangle \, h'_{(\alpha)} v_{(V)} \end{aligned}$$

(by the crossing property (16c))

$$\begin{aligned} &= \big\langle f, s_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\gamma^{-1})}) \, (h''_{(\alpha)} v)_{(\gamma)} \, \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1})}) \big\rangle (h''_{(\alpha)} v)_{(V)} \\ &= \big\langle f, s_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1}(h'''_{(\beta^{-1})}) \_ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(h'_{(\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1})}) \big\rangle \triangleright (h''_{(\alpha)} v). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 10.3. *Take two* YD*-modules* (V, Δ_V) *and* (W, Δ_W) *and define the action of* $H_1^{\maltese}$ *on both* V *and* W *via* (10.2)*. A morphism of* YD*-modules* $f \colon V \to W$ *is also a morphism of* $(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$*-modules.*

Proof. We only need to show that f preserves the action of $H_1^{\maltese}$. Let $v \in V$ and $g \in H_\gamma^*$, with $\gamma \in \pi$. Since f is a morphism of YD-modules, we have $g \triangleright f(v) = \Big\langle g, \big(f(v)\big)_{(\gamma)} \Big\rangle \big(f(v)\big)_{(W)} = \langle g, v_{(\gamma)} \rangle f(v_{(V)}) = f\big(\langle g, v_{(\gamma)} \rangle \, v_{(V)}\big) = f(g \triangleright v)$. □

Lemma 10.4. *For any* YD*-module module* (V, Δ_V)*, set* $\mathsf{F}_2(V, \Delta_V) = (V, \triangleright)$*, with the action* $\triangleright$ *of* $H_1^{\maltese}$ *on* V *defined as in* (10.2)*. For any morphism* f *of* YD*-modules, set* $\mathsf{F}_2(f) = f$*. In that way, we obtain a braided* T*-functor* $\mathsf{F}_2 \colon \mathrm{YD}\,(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$*.*

Proof. By Lemma 10.2 and Lemma 10.3, F_2 is well defined. The proof that it is a functor (i.e., that preserves identities and composition), is trivial. We have to check that it is a tensor functor, that it commutes with the conjugation and that it is braided.

TENSOR PRODUCT. Given $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, let (V, Δ_V) be a YD_α-module and let (W, δ_W) be a YD_β-module module. By the definition (19a) of the tensor product in $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$, the action $\triangleright$ of $H_1^{\maltese}$ of $V \otimes W$ is given by $f \triangleright (v \otimes w) = \big\langle f, (v \otimes w)_{(\gamma)} \big\rangle = \big\langle f, w_{(\gamma)} \varphi_{\beta^{-1}}(v_{(\beta\gamma\beta^{-1})}) \big\rangle \, v_{(V)} \otimes w_{(W)} = (f_{\mathrm{I}.\beta} \triangleright v) \otimes (f_{\mathrm{II}.\beta} \triangleright w)$, i.e., F_2 preserves the tensor product. The fact that F_2 preserves the tensor unit is trivial.

CROSSING. Let α and β be in π and let (V, Δ_V) be a YD_α-module. The action of $H_1^{\maltese}$ on ${}^{\beta}\big(\mathsf{F}_2(V, \Delta_V)\big)$ is given by $f \triangleright w = \Big(\varphi_{\beta^{-1}}^{\maltese}(f) \triangleright \Big({}^{\beta^{-1}}w\Big)\Big) = \Big(\varphi_{\beta}^{*}(f) \triangleright \Big({}^{\beta^{-1}}w\Big)\Big) = \Bigg\langle f, \varphi_\beta\Big(\Big({}^{\beta^{-1}}w\Big)_{(\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta)}\Big)\Bigg\rangle \Bigg({}^{\beta}\Big(\Big({}^{\beta^{-1}}w\Big)_{(V)}\Big)\Bigg)$, for any $f \in H_\gamma^*$, with $\gamma \in \pi$, and $w \in {}^{\beta}V$. By (19b), both ${}^{\beta}\big(\mathsf{F}_2(V, \Delta_V)\big)$ and $\mathsf{F}_2\Big({}^{\beta}(V, \Delta_V)\Big)$ has the same structure of $H_1^{\maltese}$-module and so of $(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$-module. Since both ${}^{\beta}(\cdot)$ and F_2 are the identity on the morphisms, we conclude that F_2 commute with the conjugation and that it is a T-functor.

BRAIDING. Let (V_1, Δ_{V_1}) be a YD_{α_1}-module and let (V_2, Δ_{V_2}) be a YD_{α_2}-module module. By (26), for any $v_1 \in V_1$ and $v_2 \in V_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathsf{F}_2(V_1, \Delta_{V_1}), \mathsf{F}_2(V_2, \Delta_{V_2})}(v_1 \otimes v_2) &= {}^{\alpha_1}\big(s_{\alpha_2^{-1}}(e_{\alpha_2^{-1}.i}) v_2\big) \otimes e^{\alpha_2^{-1}.i} \triangleright v_1 \\ &= {}^{\alpha_1}\big(s_{\alpha_2^{-1}}(e_{\alpha_2^{-1}.i}) v_2\big) \otimes \langle e^{\alpha_2^{-1}.i}, (v_1)_{(\alpha_2^{-1})} \rangle (v_1)_{(V_1)} \\ &= {}^{\alpha_1}\Big(s_{\alpha_2^{-1}}\big((v_1)_{(\alpha_2^{-1})}\big) v_2\Big) \otimes (v_1)_{(V_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (26) we have

$$c_{\mathsf{F}_2(V_1,\Delta_{V_1}),\mathsf{F}_2(V_2,\Delta_{V_2})} = c_{(V_1,\Delta_{V_1}),(V_2,\Delta_{V_2})} = \mathsf{F}_2\big(c_{(V_1,\Delta_{V_1}),(V_2,\Delta_{V_2})}\big).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 10.1. To prove Theorem 10.1, we need a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 10.5. *For any $f \in H^*_\gamma$, with $\gamma \in \pi$, we have $f = \langle f, e_{(\gamma).i}1_\gamma\rangle e^{(\gamma).i}$.*

Proof. By evaluating $\langle f, e_{(\gamma).i}1_\gamma\rangle e^{(\gamma).i}$ against a generic $h \in H_\gamma$ we obtain

$$\big\langle \langle f, e_{(\gamma).i}1_\gamma\rangle e^{(\gamma).i}, h\big\rangle = \langle f, e_{(\gamma).i}1_\gamma\rangle\, \langle e^{(\gamma).i}, h\rangle = \langle f, h\rangle.$$

□

Proof (of Theorem 10.1). Let us set $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{F}_3 \circ \mathsf{F}_2 \circ \mathsf{F}_1 : \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big) \to \mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$. Since both F_1 and F_2 as well as F_3 are braided T-functors, G is a braided T-functor. To complete the proof of Theorem 10.1, we only need to show that G is invertible. Given a $\overline{D}(H)$-module V, we set $\hat{\mathsf{G}}(V) = (V, c_{V,\_})$. Of course, $\hat{\mathsf{G}}(V)$ is an half-braiding and by setting $\hat{\mathsf{G}}(f) = f$, for any morphism f of YD-modules, we obtain a functor $\hat{\mathsf{G}}\colon \mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big) \to \mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. Let us prove that $\hat{\mathsf{G}}$ and G are mutually inverses.

$\hat{\mathsf{G}} \circ \mathsf{G} = \mathrm{Id}$. Let $(V, \mathfrak{c}_\_)$ be an object in $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$. Since $c_{\mathsf{G}(V,\mathfrak{c}_\_),\_} = \mathsf{G}(c_{(V,\mathfrak{c}_\_),\_}) = \mathsf{G}(\mathfrak{c}_\_) = \mathfrak{c}_\_$, we get $(\hat{\mathsf{G}} \circ \mathsf{G})(V, \mathfrak{c}_\_) = (V, c_{\mathsf{G}(V),\_}) = (V, \mathfrak{c}_\_)$.

$\mathsf{G} \circ \hat{\mathsf{G}} = \mathrm{Id}$. Let V be a $\overline{D}_\alpha(H)$-module, with $\alpha \in \pi$. Clearly, $(\mathsf{G} \circ \hat{\mathsf{G}})(V)$ and V have the same structure of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces and the same structure of H_α-module (via the embedding $H_\alpha \hookrightarrow \overline{D}_\alpha(H)$). To prove $\mathsf{G} \circ \hat{\mathsf{G}}(V) = V$, we only need to check that the action $\triangleright$ of $H_1^{\maltese}$ on V and the action $\dot{\triangleright}$ of $H_1^{\maltese}$ on $(\mathsf{G} \circ \hat{\mathsf{G}})(V)$ (both obtained via the embedding $H_1^{\maltese} \hookrightarrow \overline{D}_\alpha(H)$) are the same.

Let f be in H^*_γ, with $\gamma \in \pi$. By observing that, for any $v \in V$, $c^{-1}_{V,H_\gamma}\Big({}^{\alpha}1_\gamma\Big) = \hat{\xi}_{(\alpha).i}v \otimes \hat{\zeta}_{(\gamma).i}1_\gamma = e^{(}(\gamma).i \triangleright v \otimes e_{(\gamma).i}1_\gamma$, we get $f \dot{\triangleright} v = \langle f, v_{(\gamma)}\rangle v_V = \langle e_{(\gamma).i}1_\gamma\rangle e^{(\gamma).i} = f$, where the last passage follows by Lemma 10.5.

□

Corollary 10.6. *$\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$, $\mathrm{YD}\,(H)$, $\mathrm{Rep}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$, and $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$ are isomorphic braided* T-*categories.*

Proof. We have seen that both the functor F_1 and the functor F_3 are isomorphisms of braided T-categories. By Lemma 10.4, F_2 is an braided T-functor and, by Theorem 10.1, F_2 is invertible with inverse $\hat{\mathsf{F}}_2 = \mathsf{F}_1 \circ \hat{\mathsf{G}} \circ \mathsf{F}_3$. □

Let $\mathrm{YD}_\mathrm{f}(H)$ be the category of *finite-dimensional* YD-*modules,* i.e., the category of YD-modules $(V, \mathfrak{c}_\_)$ such that $\dim_\Bbbk V \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$ be the category of finite-dimensional $(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$-modules.

Corollary 10.7. *$\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}(H)\big)$, $\mathrm{YD}_\mathrm{f}(H)$, $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$, and $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$ are isomorphic braided* T-*categories.*

Proof. The functor F_1 sends the full subcategory $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}(H)\big)$ of $\mathcal{Z}\big(\mathrm{Rep}(H)\big)$ to the full subcategory $\mathrm{YD}_\mathrm{f}(H)$ of $YD(H)$. Similarly, the functor F_2 sends $\mathrm{YD}_\mathrm{f}(H)$ to the full subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$ of $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$ and the functor F_3 sends $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}(H, H^{\maltese}, \circledast)$ to the full subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathrm{f}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$ of $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\overline{D}(H)\big)$. □

Remark 10.8 (modular T-categories). The categorical analog of the notion of modular Hopf algebra is the notion of modular category [12, 16]. A T-category $\mathcal{T}$ is *modular* when the component $\mathcal{T}_1$ is modular as a tensor category [18].

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a semisimple tensor category. It was proved by Müger [11] that, under certain conditions on $\mathcal{R}$, the center of $\mathcal{R}$, is modular. We expect that it will be possible to generalize the result to the crossed case when π is finite. On the contrary, when π is not finite, since the quantum double of a semisimple T-coalgebra is not modular, the theory fails to be applicable to the crossed case. However, is some case, for instance when the isomorphism classes of the H_α (for all $\alpha \in \pi$) are finite, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ should be modular, or at least, premodular in the sense of Bruguières and, in that case, they should give rise to a modular category.

11. Ribbon structures

We conclude by discussing the relation between algebraic and categorical ribbon extensions. Let H be T-coalgebra (not necessarily of a finite-type). Firstly, we recall the definition of the ribbon T-coalgebra $\mathrm{RT}(H)$ (see [21]). Then, we prove that the categories $\mathrm{Rep_f}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$ and $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep_f}(H)\big)^N\Big)$ are isomorphic as balanced T-categories. To prove this statement we start by introducing an auxiliary ribbon T-category $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$. Then we prove that $\mathrm{Rep_f}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$ and $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ are isomorphic as ribbon T-categories while $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ that $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep_f}(H)\big)^N\Big)$ are isomorphic as balanced T-categories. Finally, we prove that, if H' is a T-coalgebra of finite-type, then $\mathrm{Rep_f}\Big(\mathrm{RT}\big(D(H')\big)\Big)$ and $\mathcal{D}\big(\mathrm{Rep_f}(H')\big)$ are isomorphic as ribbon T-categories.

The T-coalgebra $\mathrm{RT}(H)$. The ribbon T-coalgebra $\mathrm{RT}(H)$ is defined as follow.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the α-th component of $RT(H)$, denoted $RT_\alpha(H)$, is the vector space whose elements are formal expressions $h + kv_\alpha$, with $h, k \in H_\alpha$. The sum is given by $(h + kv_\alpha) + (h' + k'v_\alpha) = (h + h') + (k + k')v_\alpha$, for any $h, h', k, k' \in H_\alpha$. The multiplication is obtained by requiring $v_\alpha^2 = u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})$, i.e., by setting, for any $h, h', k, k' \in H_\alpha$, $(h + kv_\alpha)\,(h' + k'v_\alpha) = hh' + hk'v_\alpha + k\varphi_\alpha(h')v_\alpha + k\varphi_\alpha(k')u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}}) = \big(hh' + k\varphi_\alpha(k')u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})\big) + \big(hk' + k\varphi_\alpha(k')\big)v_\alpha$.

  We identify H_α with the subset $\{h + 0v_\alpha | h \in H_\alpha\}$ of $RT_\alpha(H)$. The algebra $RT_\alpha(H)$ is unitary with unit $1_\alpha = 1_\alpha + 0v_\alpha$. Moreover, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we have $R_{\alpha,\beta} \in H_\alpha \otimes H_\beta \subset RT_\alpha(H) \otimes RT_\beta(H)$.
- The comultiplication is given by $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(h+kv_{\alpha\beta}) = \Big(h'_{(\alpha)}+k'_{(\alpha)}\tilde{\xi}_{(\alpha).i}\tilde{\zeta}_{(\alpha).j}v_\alpha\Big)\otimes \Big(h''_{(\beta)} + k''_{(\beta)}\tilde{\zeta}_{(\beta).i}\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}\Big(\tilde{\xi}_{(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}).j}\Big)v_\beta\Big)$, for any $h, k \in H_\alpha$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. Further, the counit is given by $\langle\varepsilon, h+kv_\alpha\rangle = \langle\varepsilon, h\rangle+\langle\varepsilon, k\rangle$, for any $h, k \in H_1$.
- The antipode is given by $s_\alpha(h + kv_\alpha) = s_\alpha(h) + (s_\alpha \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(k)v_{\alpha^{-1}}$, for any $h, k \in H_\alpha$ and $\alpha \in \pi$.
- Finally, the conjugation is given by $\varphi_\beta(h + kv_\alpha) = \varphi_\beta(h) + \varphi_\beta(k)v_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$, for any $h, k \in H_\alpha$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$.

The category $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$. The ribbon T-category $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$, is defined as follows.

- For any $\alpha \in \pi$, the objects of the component $\mathrm{Rib}_\alpha(H)$ of $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ are the couples (M, t), where M is a finite-dimensional representation of H_α and $t\colon M \to {}^M M$ is a H_α-linear isomorphism such that, if we set

$$t^2 = \Big(M \xrightarrow{t} {}^M M \xrightarrow{{}^M t} {}^{M\otimes M}M\Big)$$

and $t^{-2} = (t^2)^{-1}$, then we have

$$t^{-2}\left({}^{\alpha^2}m\right) = u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})m \tag{28a}$$

for any $m \in M$ (where u_α is the α-th Drinfeld element).

- Given two objects (M_1, t_1), $(M_2, t_2) \in \mathrm{Rib}_\alpha(H)$, a morphism $f\colon (M_1, t_1) \to (M_2, t_2)$ is a H_α-linear map $f\colon M_1 \to M_2$ such that $t_2 \circ f = \left({}^{\alpha}f\right) \circ t_1$.
- The composition of morphisms in $\mathrm{Rib}_\alpha(H)$ is obtained in the obvious way via the compositions of H_α-modules.
- The tensor product of two objects $(M, t), (M', t') \in \mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$, is given by

$$(M, t) \otimes (M', t') = (M \otimes M', t \boxtimes t'), \tag{28b}$$

where we recall that

$$t \boxtimes t' = \left(\left({}^{\left({}^{M}M'\right)}t\right) \otimes {}^{M}t'\right) \circ c_{{}^{M}M',M} \circ c_{M,M'}, \tag{28c}$$

where c is the standard braiding in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)$.

- The tensor unit of $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ is the couple $(\Bbbk, \mathrm{Id}_\Bbbk)$, where $\Bbbk$ is a H_1-module via the counit of H.
- The action of the crossing on an object $(M, t) \in \mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ is obtained by setting ${}^{\beta}(M, t) = \left({}^{\beta}M, {}^{\beta}f\right)$ for any $\beta \in \pi$, while the action of the crossing on morphisms in obtained by requiring that the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)\colon (M, t) \to M$ is a T-functor.
- The braiding is given by $c_{(M,t),(M',t')} = c_{M,M'}$ for any $(M, t), (M', t') \in \mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$.
- The twist is given by $\theta_{(M,t)} = t$ for any $(M, t) \in \mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$.
- The duality in $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ is obtained as follows. Let (M, t) be an object in $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$. The dual object of (M, t) is given by the couple $\left(M^*, {}^{M^*}t^*\right)$ where M^* is the dual H-module of M (via unit the b_M and the counit d_M defined in (11)). Finally we set $b_{(M,t)} = b_M$ and $d_{(M,t)} = d_M$.

Theorem 11.1. *$\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ is a ribbon T-category isomorphic to $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Rib}\,(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)\big)^N\Big)$ as a balanced T-category.*

To prove Theorem 11.1 we need three preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 11.2. *For any $\alpha \in \pi$ we have*

$$s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}}) = \xi_{(\alpha).i}\, s_{\alpha^{-1}}(\zeta_{(\alpha^{-1}).i}). \tag{29}$$

Proof. By observing that $s_\alpha(\xi_{(\alpha).i}) \otimes \zeta_{(\beta).i} = \varphi_\alpha(\xi_{(\alpha^{-1}.i)}) \otimes \zeta_{(\beta^{-1}).i}$ (see [19]), we have $\xi_{(\alpha^{-1}).i} \otimes \zeta_{(\alpha).i} = (s_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(\xi_{(\alpha).i}) \otimes s_\alpha^{-1}(\zeta_{(\alpha^{-1}).i})$, so we get $u_{\alpha^{-1}} = (s_\alpha \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(\zeta_{(\alpha).i})\xi_{(\alpha^{-1}).i} = \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(\zeta_{(\alpha^{-1}).i})(s_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(\xi_{(\alpha).i}) = \zeta_{(\alpha^{-1}).i}\, s_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\xi_{(\alpha).i})$. By composing both sides by $s_{\alpha^{-1}}$ we get (29). ☐

Lemma 11.3. *For any $\alpha \in \pi$ and $h \in H_\alpha$, we have*

$$s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})h = (s_\alpha^{-1} \circ s_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha)(h)\, s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}}). \tag{30}$$

Proof. Let k be in $H_{\alpha^{-1}}$. By ($7g$), we have $(s_\alpha \circ s_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(k) = u_{\alpha^{-1}} k u_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}$. By composing both sides by s_α^{-1} and observing that, by ($7e$), $s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}}) = s_\alpha^{-1}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})$, we get $s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})(s_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(k) = s_\alpha^{-1}(k)\, s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})$. For $k = (\varphi_\alpha \circ s_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})(h)$, we get (30). ☐

Lemma 11.4. *Let M be a finite-dimensional representation of H and let ω_M defined as in* (3). *For any $m \in M$ we have $\Omega_M\left({}^{\alpha^2}m\right) = u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_\alpha)m$.*

The proof is a long but not difficult computation and is omitted.

Proof of Theorem 11.1. Rib(H) is obviously a well defined category. We start by proving that Rib(H) is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$ as a category. Let M be a finite-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{RT}(H)$. Set $\theta_M\colon M\to M\colon x\mapsto {}^{M_1}(\theta x)$. Since $\theta^{-2}=s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})u_\alpha$ (see [19]), the couple (M,θ_M) is a object in Rib(H). Conversely, let (N,t) be an object in $\mathrm{Rib}_\alpha(H)$, with $\alpha\in\pi$. Define the action of $\mathrm{RT}_\alpha(H)$ on N via

$$(h+kv_\alpha)n = hn + kt^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big) \tag{31}$$

for any $h,k\in H$ and $n\in N$. Let us check that the action defined in (31) is $\mathrm{RT}_\alpha(H)$-linear, i.e., that we provided N of a structure of $\mathrm{RT}_\alpha(H)$-module. For any $h_1,k_1,h_2,k_2\in H$ and $n\in N$, we have $\big((h_1+k_1v_\alpha)\,(h_2+k_2v_\alpha)\big)n=\big(h_1h_2+k_1\varphi_\alpha(k_2)u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})\big)n+\big(h_1k_2+k_1\varphi_\alpha(h_2)\big)t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big)$ and $(h_1+k_1v_\alpha)\big((h_2+k_2)n\big)=h_1h_2n+h_1k_2t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big)+k_1t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}(h_2n)\big)+k_1t^{-1}\Big({}^{\alpha}\big(k_2t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big)\big)\Big)$. By observing that

$$k_1t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}(h_2n)\big)=k_1t^{-1}\big(\varphi_\alpha(h_2){}^{\alpha}n\big)=k_1\varphi_\alpha(h_2)t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}k_1t^{-1}\Big({}^{\alpha}\big(k_2t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big)\big)\Big)&=k_1t^{-1}\Big(\varphi_\alpha(k_2)^{\alpha}\Big(t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big)\Big)\Big)=k_1\varphi_\alpha(k_2)\big(t^{-1}\circ{}^{\alpha}t^{-1}\big)\big({}^{\alpha}n\big)\\&=k_1\varphi_\alpha(k_2)t^{-2}\Big({}^{\alpha^2}n\Big)=k_1\varphi_\alpha(k_2)u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})n,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(h_1+k_1v_\alpha)\big((h_2+k_2)n\big)=\\&\qquad\big(h_1h_2+k_1\varphi_\alpha(k_2)u_\alpha s_{\alpha^{-1}}(u_{\alpha^{-1}})\big)n+\big(h_1k_2+k_1\varphi_\alpha(h_2)\big)t^{-1}\big({}^{\alpha}n\big),\end{aligned}$$

i.e., the action defined in (31) is $\mathrm{RT}_\alpha(H)$-linear. To complete the proof that Rib(H) and $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$ are isomorphic categories is now trivial.

Since Rib(H) and $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$ are isomorphic, the ribbon T-category structure of $\mathrm{Rep}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$ induces a ribbon T-category structure on Rib(H). This is the structure described above. The only nontrivial point is to show that the tensor product induced in Rib(H) is the same given in (28). Let (M_1,t_1) be an object in $\mathrm{Rib}_\alpha(H)$ and let (M_2,t_2) be an objects in $\mathrm{Rib}_\beta(H)$, with $\alpha,\beta\in\pi$. To prove that $\theta_{M_1\otimes M_2}=t_1\boxtimes t_2$, an easy but long computation shows that, for any $m_1\in M_1$ and $m_2\in M_2$, both $\theta_{M_1\otimes M_2}(m_1\otimes m_2)$ and $(t_1\boxtimes t_2)(m_1\otimes m_2)$ are equal to ${}^{\alpha\beta}\big(\theta_\alpha\zeta_{(\alpha).i}\xi_{(\alpha).j}m_1\big)\otimes{}^{\alpha\beta}\big(\theta_\beta\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(\xi_{(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}).i})\zeta_{(\beta).j}m_2\big)$.

Let us prove that Rib(H) and $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)\big)^N\Big)$ are isomorphic. If (M,θ_M) is an object in $\mathrm{Rib}_\alpha(H)$, with $\alpha\in\pi$, then, by Lemma 11.4, for any $m\in M$ we have $\Omega_M\big({}^{\alpha^{-2}}m\big)=u_\alpha\theta_M^{-2}(m)$, so that (M,θ_M) is an object in $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)\big)^N\Big)$. Conversely, if (M,t) is an object in $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)\big)^N\Big)$, then, by Lemma 6.1, and Lemma 11.4 for any $m\in M$ we have $t\big({}^{\alpha^{-2}}m\big)=u_\alpha\theta_M^{-2}(m)$, i.e, (M,t) is an object in Rib(H). The rest follows easily. ☐

Since, by Theorem 11.1, $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)\big)^N\Big)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}\big(\mathrm{RT}(H)\big)$, the balanced T-category $\mathcal{N}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H)\big)^N\Big)$ has also a natural structure of ribbon T-category. In particular, when H is the quantum double of a finite-type T-coalgebra H', this structure of a ribbon T-category is the same induced by the isomorphism between $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}\Big(\mathrm{RT}\big(\overline{D}(H')\big)\Big)$ and $\mathcal{D}\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H')\big)$, so that we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 11.5. *If H' is a finite-type* T*-algebra, then* $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}\Big(\mathrm{RT}\big(\overline{D}(H')\big)\Big)$ *and* $\mathcal{D}\big(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f}}(H')\big)$ *are isomorphic as ribbon* T*-categories.*

References

1. Alain Bruguières, Private communication.
2. Vladimir G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley, 1986) (Providence, RI), vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, pp. 798–820. MR **89f:**17017
3. André Joyal and Ross Street, *The geometry of tensor calculus. I*, Adv. in Math. **88** (1991), no. 1, 55–112. MR **92d:**18011
4. ______, *Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71** (1991), no. 1, 43–51. MR **92e:**18006
5. ______, *Braided tensor categories*, Adv. in Math. **102** (1993), no. 1, 20–78. MR **94m:**18008
6. Christian Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR **96e:**17041
7. Christian Kassel and Vladimir G. Turaev, *Double construction for monoidal categories*, Acta Math. **175** (1995), no. 1, 1–48. MR **96m:**18015
8. Thang Le and Vladimir G. Turaev, *Quantum groups and ribbon G-categories*, Preprint `math.QA/0103017`, 2001.
9. Saunders Mac Lane, *Natural associativity and commutativity*, Rice Univ. Studies **49** (1963), no. 4, 28–46. MR 30 #1160
10. ______, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. MR 1 712 872
11. Michael Müger, *From subfactors to categories and Topology II*, Preprint `math.CR/0111205`, 2001.
12. Nicolai Yu. Reshetikhin and Vladimir G. Turaev, *Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **127** (1990), no. 1, 1–26. MR **91c:**57016
13. Mei C. Shum, *Tortile tensor categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **93** (1994), no. 1, 57–110. MR **95a:**18008
14. Ross Street, *The quantum double and related constructions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **132** (1998), no. 2, 195–206. MR **99e:**16054
15. Moss E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969. MR 40/5705
16. Vladimir G. Turaev, *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 18, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994. MR **95k:**57014
17. ______, *Homotopy field theory in dimension* 2 *and group-algebras*, Preprint `math.QA/9910010`, 2000.
18. ______, *Homotopy field theory in dimension* 3 *and crossed group-categories*, Preprint `math.GT/000529`, 2000.
19. Alexis Virelizier, *Hopf group-coalgebras*, Preprint `math.QA/0012073`. To appear in *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2000.
20. ______, *Algèbres de Hopf graduées et fibrés plats sur le* 3*-variétés* (In English), Ph.D. thesis, Université Louis Pasteaur (Strasbourg), 2001.
21. Marco Zunino, *Double constructions for crossed hopf coalgebras*, `math.QA/0212192`.

MARCO ZUNINO – INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUES AVANCÉE (IRMA) – UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR ET CNRS – 7, RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `zunino@math.u-strasbg.fr`